REVIEW



ANNALS OF THE NEW YORK ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Shaping the development of complex social behavior

James P. Curley | Frances A. Champagne ©

Department of Psychology, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, USA

Correspondence

Frances A. Champagne, Department of Psychology, The University of Texas at Austin, 108 E. Dean Keeton, Austin, TX 78712, USA. Email: fchampagne@utexas.edu

Funding information

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Grant/Award Number: (1R01ES030950-01A1)

Abstract

Early life experiences can have an enduring impact on the brain and behavior, with implications for stress reactivity, cognition, and social behavior. In particular, the neural systems that contribute to the expression of social behavior are altered by early life social environments. However, paradigms that have been used to alter the social environment during development have typically focused on exposure to stress, adversity, and deprivation of species-typical social stimulation. Here, we explore whether complex social environments can shape the development of complex social behavior. We describe lab-based paradigms for studying early life social complexity in rodents that are generally focused on enriching the social and sensory experiences of the neonatal and juvenile periods of development. The impact of these experiences on social behavior and neuroplasticity is highlighted. Finally, we discuss the degree to which our current approaches for studying social behavior outcomes give insight into "complex" social behavior and how social complexity can be better integrated into lab-based methodologies.

KEYWORDS

development, neuroplasticity, parental, peer, postnatal, social complexity

INTRODUCTION

The neural systems that contribute to social behavior are shaped by genetic variation, environmental influences that occur throughout the lifespan, and the interplay between these factors. Consequently, there exists both within-species and between-species variation in social behavior that can shift in response to broad environmental cues. Similar to sensory/perception systems, which are fine-tuned by the experience of visual, auditory, gustatory, olfactory, and tactile stimuli, 6-8 the social brain and behavior is shaped by social experiences, many of which include these primary sensory stimuli. For most species, development occurs within the context of parent-offspring interactions which vary in duration, frequency, and complexity and illustrate how variation within the early social environment can impact brain development and behavioral phenotypes. This phenomenon is illustrated by studies in rodents of the impact of natural variations

in maternal care during the postnatal period on offspring social and reproductive behavior. 9,10 Caregiving behavior in humans is similarly implicated in the process of scaffolding the development of neural systems that regulate emotional responses and social competence. 11 Thus, given the plasticity in neural systems during early life, this period is a window of development during which the social environment can exert lasting effects on social behavior.

Exploration of the impact of early social experiences on the social brain has relied on experimental manipulation or detailed characterization of these experiences. Though human neuroimaging approaches are increasingly being applied to further identify brain regions and circuits that are shaped by the social environment, these studies are primarily correlational. Experimental analyses of these systems have relied heavily on the study of lab-based social species, particularly rodents, in which cellular/molecular analyses of the brain are combined with behavioral phenotyping. ⁵ However, the experimental study of the

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2023 The Authors. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of The New York Academy of Sciences.

influence of early life social environments has focused primarily on deprivation/adversity rather than on enhancement of the social environment. Moreover, the definition of social behavior within studies of the impact of the social environment is very broad, ranging from tests of dyadic approach—avoidance ("simple") to dynamic changes in social interactions in group-living animals that consider temporal, relational, and contextual factors ("complex"). A critical question that emerges from this literature is whether complex social environments can shape the development of complex social behavior. To answer this question and highlight future directions of research in the field, this review will explore the lab-based paradigms for studying early life social complexity, the methodological approaches to assessing social behavior and whether these methods give insight into complex social behavior, and describe the neural systems that likely mediate the relationship between early life social environments and social behavior.

PARADIGMS FOR STUDYING EARLY LIFE SOCIAL COMPLEXITY

While there is an extensive literature on the impact of early life environments on developmental and adult neurobehavioral outcomes, these studies have primarily focused on the deprivation of social interactions (e.g., isolation) or exposure to a broad range of stressors that impact the quality of those social interactions. In laboratory rodents, these approaches include maternal separation, maternal exposure to stress (e.g., restraint, chronic variable stress, and predator odor), limited bedding and nesting, and social isolation at weaning. 12-15 These manipulations of the social environment typically result in increased stress reactivity, impaired cognitive functioning, and decreased social behavior. There are parallels between these outcomes and the impact of peer-rearing compared to mother-rearing in lab-reared rhesus macagues. 16 In humans, these models may approximate the experience of institutionalized rearing, where basic physical needs are met but social interactions during infancy are low in frequency and consistency.¹⁷ Overall, studies using these paradigms suggest that in the absence of social interactions during development, there are global impairments in functioning that include disruption to the formation of social bonds that support later life social behavior. However, these approaches do not explore the impact of early life social complexity.

A critical feature of socially complex environments is the shift from a standard environmental experience to one that more readily captures the ecological features of the environments in which development would typically occur for the species being studied. ^{18,19} The rearing conditions of laboratory animals are designed to standardize the experience of animals and facilitate monitoring by facility staff. This is achieved by the utilization of cage sizes that limit exploratory behavior, the use of bedding material that maintains cage cleanliness and the ability to monitor animals, and housing conditions in which a single dam and litter are present during the postnatal period and/or weaning with a small group of same-sex individuals. Thus, complexity involves adding sources of variation in the experience of lab animals that mimic

the highly stimulating environments that might occur in the natural world. It should be noted that implementation of this complexity may require careful consideration of the dynamics of welfare monitoring by animal facility staff. Here, we will consider several lab-based, early life environmental manipulations that are designed to capture some elements of social complexity, including (1) neonatal handling, (2) fostering, (3) communal rearing, (4) biparental rearing, (5) environmental enrichment (EE), and (6) postweaning social exposure.

Neonatal handling

Neonatal handling of rodent pups was developed as a form of early life tactile stimulation that involves brief separations of pups from the dam.²⁰ Though there is variability in the duration of separation (typically less than 15 min) as well as the conditions experienced during separation (e.g., use of heating, litter vs. individual pup housing), neonatal handling is generally considered a robust strategy for increasing the levels of dam-pup interactions. 21,22 In particular, levels of pupdirected licking/grooming (LG) increase following neonatal handling. This increase is not compensating for any loss of LG experienced by pups as the short period of absence from the nest during handling is guite typical of normative dam-pup interactions. For the neonatal pup, LG serves immediate developmental needs, including the promotion of urination and defecation.²³ Natural variations in maternal LG have been observed within lab-reared mice and rats that occur even in the absence of genetic variation. 10,24 Cross-fostering studies support the conclusion that the experience of low versus high levels of postnatal maternal LG has broad developmental programming effects through epigenetic changes within genes that regulate neuroendocrine functioning.^{25,26} Though high levels of LG can occur within litters that are unmanipulated, the shift toward high LG can be observed within handled litters as well as other models of early life social complexity.^{21,27} Moreover, female rodents that experience EE during adolescence exhibit elevated LG toward pups suggesting that increased tactile stimulation may be a critical mechanism driving the effects of socially complex environments.²⁸ Other manipulations have also been used to elevate levels of LG by rat dams, including applying palatable food stuffs to individual pups.²⁹

Fostering

Though laboratory rodents can differentiate between pups within a litter and between their own and other litters, in most cases, post-partum females will display caregiving behaviors toward any neonate that they are cohoused with during the postnatal period. 30-32 Fostering manipulations involve transferring litters or individual pups from their biological dam to another dam immediately following parturition, though delayed fostering may also be used to explore sensitive periods during development. 33 Dams engage in increased maternal LG in the period immediately following cohousing with fostered pups, and studies in rats suggest that this response to fostering is limited to the

first week postpartum and corresponds with a decrease in ultrasonic vocalizations by fostered pups.³⁴ Fostering has been used to examine how strain or species differences in maternal care such as nursing and LG shape offspring outcomes, typically by fostering pups to dams that exhibit robust differences in the frequency of maternal behavior compared to the biological mother of the pups. 35,36 Fostering has also been used to study whether postnatal maternal care can shift behavioral phenotypes in rodents with genetic, pharmacological, or virally induced social behavior deficits. 37,38 A cross-fostering design, in which there is an exchange of litters/pups between dams, is also a typically used paradigm to dissociate the impact of the postnatal rearing environment from prenatal or genetic influences on developmental outcomes.³⁸ Litter sizes are often standardized during cross-fostering as variations in litter size are known to affect developmental outcomes in rodents through shifting parental behaviors and interactions between littermates.³⁹ Though cross-fostering has been used to investigate the role of maternal behavior in shaping offspring development, it should be noted that fostering can lead to unintended outcomes on offspring physiology, including shifts in body weight, metabolism, and cardiovascular outcomes. 38,40

Communal rearing

The communal care of young is a common rearing strategy for many species.⁴¹ In the laboratory, inbred and outbred female rodent dams will readily form communal nests.^{27,42} Lab-based communal nests typically include three dams placed into a new cage with three litters on the day of parturition.²⁷ These litters are typically nonbiological offspring of the dams, with the comparison group being fostered offspring reared by a single dam. Similar to fostering, increases in LG are typically observed during the period of nest formation, during which time the dams form a single nest within the cage.²⁷ In addition to elevated levels of maternal stimulation of pups, this early life manipulation allows for higher levels of social interaction with peers throughout development until weaning. Pups reared in communal nests also experience enhanced thermoregulation due to a large number of pups, and concomitantly, communal dams exhibit reduced nestbuilding compared to dams rearing litters under standard environmental conditions.²⁷ A variant of the communal rearing paradigm is to have three dams rearing pups that differ in age by a few days. Using this approach, it has been shown that the youngest litters tend to receive the highest levels of LG from dams, while the oldest litters receive higher nursing by dams.⁴³ Communal rearing paradigms using just two lactating dams rather than three have also been used within laboratory studies.44

Biparental rearing

Approximately 6% of rodent genera exhibit some form of biparental care where both parents (mother and father) contribute to the rearing of offspring. ⁴⁵ These species tend to be monogamous with strong mate bonds. In the laboratory, several studies have examined the

consequences of rearing offspring biparentally compared to rearing conditions where the father is absent. Variation in overall parental care can also be observed in biparental rearing conditions. Lab-based studies of biparental rearing have typically used the following species: prairie vole (Microtus ochrogaster), mandarin vole (Microtus mandarinus), California mouse (Peromyscus californicus), Campbell's dwarf hamster (Phodopus campbelli), Mongolian gerbil (Meriones unguiculatus), and Common degu (Octodon degus). The importance of social stimulation provided by fathers is most commonly examined by comparing biparentally reared offspring to those reared by single females without the male present. Among biparental species, the absence of the father results in significantly less social stimulation of the litter. 45 Fathers may also stimulate contact between offspring and both parents indicating that the presence of both parents is more than additive. 46 Biparental rearing has also been observed in laboratory mice that are not generally considered a biparental species. In these paradigms, pups are born to dams who have cohabited with the father since copulation. In such cases, fathers contribute additional caregiving and pup social stimulation, and may even be stimulated to do so through communication with the dam. 47,48

Environmental enrichment

EE encompasses a variety of sensory, physical, social, and cognitive stimulations relative to standard housing conditions. Enrichment has commonly been applied to adult laboratory animals to improve welfare and promote naturalistic species-typical behavior. ⁴⁹ It is also utilized following exposure of individuals to stressors or in animal models of disease to foster recovery of impaired phenotypes. ⁵⁰ There is a long history of housing rodents of many species in EE to investigate neural and behavioral plasticity in adult and aged animals. ^{51,52} EE has also been used to examine developmental plasticity, with enrichment typically occurring during the postweaning adolescent period. ⁵³ Most frequently, animals reared in EE are compared to standard-housed animals and/or socially isolated animals. There exists a great variety of different EE approaches, although it appears that environmental complexity and novelty are two key features that induce maximal plasticity. ⁵⁴

Social exposure

Though increased social interactions are typically included in EE, there are paradigms that focus exclusively on examining the developmental effects of increased opportunities to form social relationships. These paradigms generally involve increasing group size or cohousing animals with individuals who vary in their social behavior. For example, in mice, "cross-housing" is a procedure where individuals are housed from weaning either with their own or other strains of mice that differ in social behavior. This procedure enables the study of how variations in postweaning social dynamics shape behavioral development. This procedure differs from cross-fostering in that the housing

with other strains occurs postweaning rather than during housing with dams. Animals may be singly or repeatedly provided with access to other conspecifics. These experiences may involve increased opportunities for engaging in social play, a common behavioral feature of all developing mammals, as well as increasing olfactory and other sensory exposures to social stimuli.⁵⁸ Play interactions during this period are largely rewarding for the young of most species.⁵⁹ Another approach to social exposure is to focus on olfactory enrichment, where animals have extended duration of exposure to olfactory stimuli from social conspecifics, providing animals from birth with access to a variety of novel social odors.⁶⁰

MEASURES OF SOCIAL BEHAVIOR: FROM SIMPLE TO COMPLEX

Social behavior encompasses a broad range of behaviors that change over developmental time and include affiliative, agonistic, associative, sexual, parental, and juvenile interactions between individuals. These behaviors are highly dependent on sensory/perception systems, emotion regulation, and cognitive abilities, particularly those involved in sensory discrimination and memory. Table 1 provides an overview of the tests typically used in lab-based studies of rodent social behavior. It should be noted that though these tests are typical within the social behavior literature, the degree to which these tests assess "complex" social behavior is debatable. 61,62 Social behavior tests are often very short-term examinations of social behavior with brief durations of assessment that provide a snapshot of behavior that lacks analyses of trajectories over developmental time. In addition, standard social behavior tests investigate the behavior of individual animals toward a social stimulus or two animals interacting in dyads. This approach limits the capacity to understand the social behavior of individuals within larger social contexts. 18 Social behavioral tests are often conducted with animals who are experimentally naive to each other and, therefore, do not have a relationship history. Thus, there may be confounds with these social assessments and general response to novelty. Moreover, these tests do not provide insights into the formation and maintenance of social relationships over time, which serve as a critical feature of social functioning. 63,64 Finally, standard tests of social behavior typically rely on the comparison of mean differences in the frequency or latency of behavior rather than the temporal patterns of behavior that are a feature of complex social responses.⁶⁵

Efforts to shift social behavior analyses of laboratory rodents to capture the dynamic and complex features of social functioning have utilized several approaches that focus on technological advances in the tracking and quantification of behavior. There has been increasing emphasis within the social behavior literature on detailed observations of behavior in large groups across longer periods of time for a wider variety of species. 18,66 These approaches have largely been facilitated through the utilization of more complex housing systems to improve ethological validity and the ability to track the movement and behavior of individual animals over long periods through Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) tracking, video tracking, and

pose estimation. For example, housing rats and mice in large groups in enriched environments with detailed behavioral observations have shown that both male and female animals will form highly linear dominance hierarchies. 63,67 Within these hierarchies, individuals have unique social ranks and show flexible patterns of dominant and subordinate behavior toward other individuals. Similarly, housing naked mole-rats in large laboratory housing systems allows this species to recapitulate social features of the subterranean colonies inhabited in the wild, including a high degree of social role specialization.^{68,69} Methods that combine RFID and computer vision tracking with pose estimation and machine learning enable a much higher resolution of behavioral assessment across multiple animals.⁷⁰ These approaches can determine social configuration events (e.g., the number of animals in nests or groups, or trains of moving animals), events in dyads (e.g., how animals approach, investigate, and break contact from each other), or group dynamics (e.g., how animals form or dissolve groups). Data acquired from these approaches enable the characterization of grouplevel differences in social behavior and the assessment of how social relationships (agonistic and affiliative) develop over time.

Though transitioning to strategies for assessing complex social behavior may ultimately lead to a more nuanced understanding of the social brain and behavior, there are significant obstacles to this transition. Housing laboratory animals in large complex environments may not be feasible in all animal facilities. Also, automated video tracking methods may impose constraints such as (i) type of caging system used, (ii) need to mark animals, (iii) total number of animals that can be tracked, (iv) computational power required to collect data, and (v) which specific behavioral parameters can be extracted. Moreover, a growing issue with high-throughput, long-term studies is how to interpret the vast quantities of social data that can be collected. There is a need to develop statistical approaches and methodologies that facilitate an understanding of typical or atypical patterns of social dynamics over time. 64 Although there have been several attempts to identify specific social behavioral phenotypes from such data (e.g., simBA⁷¹), this is an ongoing challenge for social behavior researchers. The approaches that have been developed are typically focused on adult social behavior and so there is also a need to develop tools that can be used at earlier developmental timepoints. Despite these constraints, there is a growing community of researchers who are developing tools to enable complex social behavior to be better represented in the literature.

SOCIAL BEHAVIOR OUTCOMES ASSOCIATED WITH EARLY LIFE SOCIAL COMPLEXITY

The paradigms of early life social complexity that have been described typically induce broad developmental changes that last into adulthood. Though the assessment of complex social behavior has yet to be integrated into most of these studies, there is evidence for the impact of these early life experiences on the expression of a broad range of behavioral outcomes related to social behavior. Here, we will highlight findings suggesting that socially complex environments experienced in early life can shape the emergence of social behavior. The emphasis will

TABLE 1 Typical tests of social behavior in laboratory rodents.

Behavioral domain	Age at testing	Name/description of test	Refs
Social communication	Neonatal	USV production (during isolation or with dam/litter); maternal potentiation of USV calls (increased production of USVs following second isolation from dam)	201, 202
Social preference	Neonatal	Homing social odor preference (orientation toward home-cage vs. unfamiliar odors)	203
Social affiliation	Neonatal	Huddling (proximity during group-housing with littermates)	204
Social memory	Neonatal	Homing social odor discrimination (ability to differentiate home-cage vs. unfamiliar odors)	205
Play behavior	Juvenile	Rough and tumble play (including darts and hops)	173, 206
Social communication	Juvenile and adult	USV production in response to social odors or interaction	207, 208
Social affiliation; social preference	Juvenile and adult	Social preference test; Social approach-avoidance test; Sociability test; Three-chamber test; Social novelty preference test; Partition test (propensity of animals to investigate novel social partners, or preference for familiar vs. unfamiliar social partners, without contact)	209-211
Social affiliation; social aggression	Juvenile and adult	Dyadic social interaction tests with same-age, same-sex conspecifics	212
		Semi-natural or home cage monitoring of affiliative and agonistic interactions in group-housed animals	63, 213, 214
Social avoidance	Juvenile and adult	Social avoidance test (inhibition of investigation of a social partner without contact)	215
Social memory	Juvenile and adult	Social discrimination/recognition of social odors or social partners	216
Social memory; social reward	Juvenile and adult	Conditioned place-preference (conditioning to a context associated with social cues compared to nonsocial cues or opportunities for aggression)	217, 218
Alloparental behavior	Juvenile and adult	Retrieval, licking/grooming, crouching, and time spent in contact with neonatal pups; nestbuilding	219
Social aggression	Adult	Resident-intruder test (territorial aggression; individuals defend their homecage from intruder social stimulus)	220
Social aggression; territoriality	Adult	Scent marking (scent-mark countermarking over other individual's scents)	221
Social dominance	Adult	Dyadic agonistic interactions; Tube test; Warm spot test (competitive abilities in dyadic encounters leading to the formation of dominant–subordinate relationship)	222, 223
Social hierarchy	Adult	Dominance hierarchy formation (assessment of agonistic interactions between group-housed individuals; assess the formation and maintenance of hierarchy)	63, 224
		Social opportunity (ability to socially ascend in a hierarchy following removal of the dominant individual)	225
Social stress	Adult	Social defeat (social avoidance induced by the experience of social aggression/stress)	226
Social bonding	Adult	Partner preference (formation of a selective social affiliation with a mating partner in monogamous rodents)	227
Social learning	Adult	Social transmission of food preference (learning to prefer a novel food from social partners)	228
Social learning; empathy	Adult	Emotional contagion (altering affective state in response to social partner distress); observational fear learning (fear conditioning through observation)	229
Altruism	Adult	Spontaneous helping behavior toward conspecific in the absence of any reward; consolation behaviors to social partners after partner has experienced distress	230, 231

 $Abbreviation: \, USV, ultrasonic \, vocalization.$

be on social behavior outcomes that occur outside of the context of reproduction.

Neonatal handling and increased maternal LG

Neonatal handling has been shown to decrease the propensity for social interaction in adult dyadic social tests and increase aggression levels in male but not female rats. 72 Handling may also enhance social recognition memory in adulthood, 73 although other studies suggest no effect of handling on social memory.⁷² Studies of the impact of maternal care on social play can vary depending on the characterization of maternal care and the nature of the play interactions. Within individual litters in rats, higher levels of stimulation of rat pups have been associated with increased social play in males, 74 and in mouse litters, higher levels of preweaning LG are associated with increased affiliative social play.⁷⁵ Similarly, prairie vole offspring of high-contact dams spend more time socially investigating a novel juvenile partner. 76 In contrast, low levels of LG experienced by mouse offspring are associated with increased levels of social play,⁷⁵ particularly play dominance behavior, an effect similarly observed in male and female rat offspring. 77,78 Moreover, experimental manipulations that reduce rat dam LG can also lead to increases in play dominance behavior. 79,80 Adult offspring of high LG rat dams spend more time in social contact with an unfamiliar individual in a dyadic social interaction test.81 Finally, male adolescent offspring of highly maternal rat dams have been shown to be more likely to show helping behavior toward conspecifics in a test of empathic behavior.⁸² Prairie voles that experience early handling display higher levels of juvenile alloparental behavior and are more likely to form species-typical partner preferences to sexual partners as adults in contrast to those that do not experience handling.83-85 Collectively, these studies suggest a strong modulating influence of early life stimulation and maternal care on the emergence of social behavior.

Postnatal fostering effects on social behavior

Classic studies of fostering between closely related rodent species demonstrate that olfactory and social preferences for the same species as well as aggression levels can be modified via cross-fostering.³⁸ Fostering between mouse strains leads to subtle changes in female olfactory preferences in adulthood and induces changes in the expression of mouse strain-specific chemosignals, suggesting that early environments that have more olfactory complexity can lead to the development of enhanced olfactory processing with implications for social behavior.86 Auditory preferences of mice for songs of different strains can also be reversed by cross-fostering, demonstrating that early environments may also shape auditory abilities.⁸⁷ In mice and rats, strain or species-specific patterns of social interaction and aggression can be modified via cross-fostering when these strains differ in maternal care. 37,88-91 For example, the spontaneously hypertensive rat (SHR) strain exhibits higher social interactions with conspecifics compared to rats of the Wistar-Kyoto (WKY) strain; however, both strains show social patterns typical of their maternal foster strain when cross-fostered.⁸⁸ These studies illustrate the postnatal plasticity of sensory/perception and behavioral systems involved in social interactions.

Social behavior in communally reared offspring

Studies exploring the impact of communal rearing on social behavior outcomes have primarily focused on laboratory mice, though these effects may be similarly observed in rats.⁹² Outbred mice reared in communal nests appear to have elevated social competencies in adulthood. In social behavior tests where males are paired with the same rearing condition conspecifics, communally reared offspring more rapidly establish dominant-subordinate relationships. 43,93 Further, communally reared mice engage in higher levels of social investigation and affiliation once a social hierarchy is formed. 43,93,94 These effects of communal rearing on social competence appear to be a consequence of both enriched maternal and peer interactions. 43 Communally reared Balb/c female mice are quicker to retrieve pups and show higher levels of nursing and LG as adults. 95 In response to an intruder male during a lactational aggression test, these communally reared females display more submissive behavior and reduced offensive behavior, suggesting that they are able to dynamically adjust their aggression levels based on the social context. Social modulation of emotional behavior is also observed in communally reared mice, resulting in reduced anxiety-like behavior when tested with other familiar communally reared mice. 96 Communally reared mice also display reduced anhedonia and reduced hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activation in response to a social stress challenge. 43,94,97 The protective effects of communal rearing are also observed in studies of social behavior in mouse genetic models of autism.44

Impact on social behavior of biparental rearing

In many species, the presence or absence of both parents may be an important indicator of the quality of future environments and which reproductive tactics and social behaviors will be most suitable to develop. The importance of biparental care on offspring social development and shifts in reproductive tactics across the life course has been shown in several rodent species through studies that use paternal deprivation (PD) approaches.⁹⁸ A consistent finding in these studies is PD-induced impairments in the ability of offspring to develop a partner preference as adults. This impact of PD has been shown in female offspring in mandarin voles.⁹⁹ and both sexes in prairie voles. 100,101 In mandarin voles, PD-reared offspring also show reduced affiliation and increased aggression to mating partners. 99 By using alloparents to substitute for paternal loss in prairie voles, it has been shown that impairments in female partner preference are due to reduced quantity of postnatal care received, while impairments in male partner preference are due to reduced quality of postnatal care received. 102,103 In mandarin voles, PD is associated with

impairments in social recognition, 104,105 lower levels of juvenile play behavior, 106 and reduced levels of social interaction in dyadic social interaction tests in adulthood. 107,108 Similarly, adult California mice that were reared in PD conditions show reduced sociability and increased avoidance and vigilance to a same-sex conspecific. 109 In contrast, PD-reared prairie voles show no changes in social recognition as adults and exhibit higher levels of social affiliation in a social approach test. 110

Though this literature focusses on deprivation models, there is evidence that variation in parental behavior and offspring stimulation within biparental rearing conditions shapes social behavior. For example, increased paternal stimulation of California mouse pups can result in increased levels of aggression in adult offspring. ¹¹¹ Male and female California mice that experience experimentally induced higher levels of paternal stimulation display higher levels of retrieval behavior as adults. ^{112,113} Male prairie voles that experience higher levels of paternal care also exhibit more pup retrievals in an alloparenting test as juveniles. ¹¹⁴ Similarly, male offspring of prairie vole mating pairs exhibiting high levels of combined parental contact show greater alloparental care toward infants. ^{115,116} Among juvenile voles, the experience of high parental contact is associated with increased affiliative behavior toward novel juveniles but a decreased motivation to disperse from the natal nest. ^{76,116}

Environmental and social enrichment effects on social behavior

Developmental exposure to EE typically occurs during the postweaning adolescent period in rodents and has effects on multiple domains of behavior. Among rat offspring exposed to prenatal stress, EE results in increased play behavior and reduced emotionality. 117,118 Similar enrichment-induced amelioration of the effects of prenatal cocaine exposure and prenatal valproic acid have been observed, including increasing social interaction. 119-121 In rats, male offspring reared in postweaning enrichment exhibit increased social exploration. 122 In a comparison of the effects of physical versus social enrichment in rats, social enrichment was observed to increase social communication and approach behaviors. 123 One hypothesis regarding the mechanism of social enrichment effects is that these environments allow for increased play behavior that scaffolds further social behavior development. Though there is some evidence to refute this hypothesis, 124 deprivation of play behavior during this postweaning period has been demonstrated to impact social behavior across a wide range of species. Play deprivation in rats has been associated with a decreased motivation to socially interact and affiliate with same-sex conspecifics. 125,126 Play deprivation can significantly impair contextually appropriate social behavior, such as agonistic and subordinate behaviors.⁵⁸ For example, play-deprived hamsters and rats are more aggressive as adults when resolving social dominance relationships. 127-129 Playdeprived hamsters also show increased avoidance behavior toward familiar opponents, indicating an impairment in social relationship development and social stress coping. 130,131

Animals that are reared from birth in EE exhibit changes in numerous behavioral domains, including increases in social interaction. 120,122,132 One possible mechanism for these developmental shifts are EE-induced alterations in the quality and efficiency of parental care. For example, environmentally enriched rat dams tend to show less time in passive nursing postures and less time alternating between different nursing postures, suggesting a higher overall efficiency of milk let-down. Environmentally enriched dams have been reported to increase frequencies of high arch nursing posture and LG of offspring, though these findings are inconsistent. 133-135 Additionally, environmentally enriched dams appear to have increased milk quality, having higher triglyceride levels, and a greater microbiome diversity that contribute to increased lipid, steroid, and glucose metabolism in offspring. 135 Associated with these changes, offspring of environmentally enriched dams also exhibit higher levels of social investigation of a novel partner as juveniles. It remains to be determined whether EE rearing promotes the development of more complex social behaviors and relationships, and whether this is mediated by changes in parental care or physiology or both.

Postweaning social environments in which rodents are cohoused with individuals who vary significantly in their social behavior provide additional insights into the impact of these social experiences. For example, play-deprived Wister rats reared during adolescence with the low-playing Fischer rat strain show deficits in social interaction, social memory, and the social transmission of food preferences as adults. 136 Similarly, male Long Evans rats reared with the Fischer strain are more likely to escalate social interactions into aggressive behavior as adults, whereas female Long Evans rats reared with Fischer rats exhibit fewer reciprocal and prolonged social interactions with novel partners as adults. 137,138 Among 129S mice that typically do not display high levels of play behavior, cohousing with C57BI/6J (B6) mice results in reduced huddling and increased time spent playing.⁵⁶ Similar increases in social interaction are observed in BTBR mice housed with B6 mice. 139 These findings suggest that interaction with other individuals expressing appropriate social behavior during the juvenile phase may support the development of contextually appropriate social behavior.

NEUROBIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS MEDIATING THE LINK BETWEEN EARLY LIFE SOCIAL ENVIRONMENTS AND SOCIAL BEHAVIOR

The social brain encompasses neural systems that can respond to sensory cues; integrate sensory input with neuroendocrine, arousal, and emotional states; regulate motivation and response to rewards; direct behavioral outputs based on this collective information; and retain memories of past social interactions. Thus, social behavior typically involves a broad range of neural systems working interactively. To understand the impact of early social environments on the social brain, it is necessary to examine the overlap between the neurobiological effects of these social experiences and the neural systems that regulate social behavior. Here, we will highlight some of these systems, with a particular focus on neuroplasticity.

Neuropeptides

The oxytocin (OT) and vasopressin (AVP) systems exhibit significant plasticity in response to early life social experiences. 141,142 The experience of high LG is associated with increased OT receptor density in the central nucleus of the amygdala and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST) in adult female rats and increased AVP V1a receptor density in the amygdala in adult male rats. 143 Conversely, neonatal handling has been shown to decrease OT immunoreactive cells in the hypothalamus and amygdala of male rats and is associated with increased AVP immunoreactivity in the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the hypothalamus. 72,144 In prairie voles, neonatal handling is associated with reduced OT receptor binding in the BNST and alterations to OT receptor gene DNA methylation and binding in the nucleus accumbens (NAc), dependent upon sex and duration of handling. 76,83 Deprivation of biparental care in mandarin and prairie voles leads to decreases in OT neuron and receptor levels in various nuclei of the social brain including the PVN and amygdala, though there does exist significant variation in these effects. 103,145 Similarly, PD in mandarin voles reduces AVP immunoreactivity in the anterior hypothalamus. 106 Territorial California mice fostered to less territorial white-footed mice show reductions in levels of AVP immunoreactivity in the BNST and supraoptic nucleus associated with reductions in aggression compared to those fostered to California mice dams. 91 Further, California mice experiencing high levels of paternal stimulation show higher levels of AVP innervation of the dorsal BNST but lower levels in the ventral BNST and PVN compared to offspring who received low levels of stimulation. 111 The experience of communal rearing is associated with increases in OT receptors in multiple hypothalamic brain regions in female offspring, including the lateral septum and BNST, and increases in OT receptor density in several nuclei of the amygdala of communally reared males. 43,95 Conversely, AVP V1a receptors are decreased within the lateral septum of female offspring reared in communal nests. 95 Adolescent social enrichment in rats is associated with increases in OT receptor density and increased OT immunoreactivity in the PVN¹⁴⁶ and BNST.²⁸ Moreover, the effects of EE on social behavior can be recapitulated using intranasal OT administration, suggesting a mediating role of this neuropeptide system in linking social experiences to social behavior outcomes, 147 though these effects may differ when there is chronic developmental OT exposure. 148 The link between the OT and AVP systems and the expression of social behavior has been established through comparative, genetic, pharmacologic, and optogenetic approaches that implicate these neuropeptides in social bonding and aggression. 149,150

Neurotrophic factors

Neurotrophins, including nerve growth factor (NGF) and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), are key facilitators of neuronal proliferation, differentiation, and synapse formation. The impact of early life social experiences on neurotrophin levels can be observed as early as the first week of life. Offspring of high LG dams show elevations of

BDNF mRNA in CA1 and dentate gyrus subregions of the hippocampus from postnatal day 8.151 Adult male offspring of highly maternal rat dams have high levels of BDNF mRNA in the amygdala, hippocampus, prefrontal cortex, and striatum, suggesting that early elevations of neurotrophic gene expression may persist until adulthood.82 Congruently, adult mice that were reared in communal nests show higher levels of BDNF and NGF protein and mRNA in the frontal cortex, hippocampus, and hypothalamus when compared to standard-reared animals. 43,96,152 Communally reared mice also have higher levels of hippocampal H3 histone acetylation of the BDNF gene in adulthood. The particular patterning of H3 acetylation observed is associated with more rapid production of BDNF protein following environmental challenge in communally reared adults. 153 Similarly, biparentally reared female mandarin voles have higher levels of CA1 and CA2/3 hippocampal BDNF compared to singly reared individuals. 154 However, biparentally reared prairie voles have been found to have reduced hippocampal BDNF protein and mRNA and decreases in the BDNF receptor, tropomyosin receptor kinase B (TrkB).¹¹⁰ These findings demonstrate that the relationship between neurotrophins and early life social stimulation may vary depending on species or strain. Social stimulation in the juvenile period can also induce changes in neurotrophin levels, with juvenile rats having higher levels of BDNF mRNA in the amygdala and frontal cortex following social play. 155 Variation in hypothalamic and hippocampal BDNF protein levels also occurs in juvenile prairie voles following their engagement in alloparental care. 156 While the specific role of BDNF in the expression of social behavior has yet to be fully elucidated, neurotrophins are critical for the expression of neural plasticity and BDNF-TrkB signaling may serve an important role in various forms of social learning. 157

Neuroplasticity

Altered neurotrophin levels within the brain have consequences for the variation in neuronal function and plasticity that are observed following exposure to early life social environments. For example, adult offspring of high LG dams exhibit increased hippocampal synaptogenesis and synaptic density from preweaning through adulthood. 151,158 Within the hippocampus of communally reared offspring, the rates of survival of newly generated neurons are elevated, which is consistent with the established role of BDNF in supporting cell survival. 159 Other forms of enrichment during development also induce dendritic arborization and increases in synapse number and size throughout the cortex. 160,161 Increased dendritic spine density has been observed in the dentate gyrus of biparentally reared compared to singly reared mandarin voles. 105 Similarly, male, but not female, biparentally reared degu show higher spine frequency on basal dendrites in the ventral medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) compared to singly reared animals. 162 Indeed, PD in degu has been shown to have widespread effects on the number of neurons throughout the lifespan in many brain regions, including the NAc, amygdala, and hippocampus. 162-164 In mandarin voles and California mice, PD leads to reductions in the survival of new cells in the dentate gyrus of females. 105,165 PD in California mice has also been shown to lead to reductions in the volume of the dentate gyrus in both sexes and the density of microglia cells. 166 How these changes in hippocampal morphology relate to social behavioral changes has yet to be elucidated.

The experience of juvenile play behavior stimulates neuronal activity throughout both limbic and corticostriatal areas that is critical for the development of frontal cortex circuitry.^{58,155} The opportunity to play with peers leads to an increase in plasticity-modulating proteins, such as synaptophysin, spinophillin, and myelin basic protein in the frontal cortex.¹⁶⁷ Male and female rats that have access to increased juvenile play partners or play partners of more playful rat strains have more pruning of dendritic arbors in the mPFC. 168-170 Some of these play-induced changes to the mPFC may be limited to the juvenile period, whereas the impact of play on dendritic arbors in the orbitofrontal cortex may be maintained over the lifespan and thus responsive to ongoing changes in the social environment, ^{168,169,171–173} though this may vary by species. 130 Activation of the mPFC is evident during social approach behavior and this brain region is critical for the perception and response to social stimuli through connections to the amygdala, which regulate approach and avoidance behaviors. 174,175 Thus, the impact on neuroplasticity in this brain region will shape fundamental aspects of social behavior.

Motivation-reward

Social behavior is dependent on motivation to engage in interactions with a social stimulus and activation of reward systems to promote sustained social contact. The mesolimbic dopamine (DA) system plays a critical role in the motivation-reward system and is shaped through a broad range of early life experiences. 176-178 Though adverse experiences have been the typical focus of studies examining the DA system, modulation through increased social complexity in early life may also be evident. Neonatal handling has been found to induce increases in DA D1 receptor levels in the caudate putamen and NAc, which likely contributes to increased activation of dopaminergic neurons and increased incentive learning within social relationships. 179-181 Density of dopaminergic neurons within the ventral tegmental area are increased among female rats that experience high LG during postnatal development, and expression of DA receptors (D1, D2, and D3) are increased within the NAc in adolescence following this early life experience. 182 Father absence impacts reward sensitivity and decreases the responsivity of DA neurons in the mPFC in California mice and increases tyrosine hydroxylase levels in several brain regions of degu. 99,183,184 In mandarin voles, PD leads to reductions in nucleus accumbens D1 and D2 receptor mRNA in adult females, but the opposite pattern is observed in adult male offspring. 99 The impact of communal rearing or postweaning enrichment on the DA system has been limited or yielded inconsistent findings, suggesting that there may be contextual or genetic factors to consider when exploring the effects of these experiences. 178,185

Sensory systems

Though sensory systems are fundamental to the perception, recognition, and response to social cues, there has been limited exploration of the impact of early life social environments on the development of these systems. The literature that does exist is primarily focused on adversity/deprivation experiences. However, studies of cross-fostering and handling in rats suggest that these experiences can alter auditory brain-stem activity and accelerate the development of the middle ear and opening of the ear canal. 186 Preweaning offspring that experience low LG exhibit higher levels of BDNF in the auditory cortex compared to offspring from high LG dams. 187 In terms of visual perception, mice reared from birth in enriched environments exhibit greatly enlarged and coordinated primary visual cortex fields leading to wider visual field coverage. 188 Enriched mice also show accelerated visual acuity and ocular dominance plasticity into adulthood which is in part mediated via elevations in BDNF. 189,190 Further, EE during the postweaning period is also associated with increased NGF within the adult visual cortex.191

While neonatal odor exposure has been demonstrated to exert a sustained effect on odor processing, 192 the neurobiological impact of social odors has yet to be fully explored. Some evidence suggests that olfactory enrichment can lead to improved olfactory discrimination abilities, possibly via modification of the noradrenergic system, at least in young adult mice. 193 Genetically ablating mouse olfactory sensory neurons, specifically during the neonatal period, also impairs the ability of individuals to recognize odors in adulthood, demonstrating the importance of neural activity in olfactory sensory neurons during development to scaffold adult sensory abilities. 194 Olfactory discrimination and learning can also be improved by increasing rates of turnover of granule cells in the olfactory bulb as well as increasing levels of neuronal inhibition by these interneurons, suggesting a potential target for developmental effects. 195 Further, neonatal rats that learn to associate odors with foot-shock retain this memory into adulthood and exhibit a proliferation of neural stem/progenitor cells. 196 Despite foundational work indicating that early life socialization can have a profound impact on the dynamics of sensory processing and development, 197 the experimental analyses of the impact of social experiences on the neurobiology and function of these systems require further exploration.

More recently, work in prairie voles has provided strong evidence that variation in early life tactile stimulation of pups can shape connections in the somatosensory cortex leading to changes in cortical organization. Offspring that experience high levels of parental contact have a higher density of connectivity within the primary somatosensory area (S1) compared to offspring who experience less contact. ¹⁹⁸ Conversely, offspring reared by low-contact parents have denser connections in the parietal and frontal cortices as well as a wider distribution of callosal connections compared to offspring of high-contact parents. Female offspring of low-contact parents also have reduced size of the primary motor cortex M1 along with reduced myelination of this region. ¹⁹⁹ Changes in cortical connectivity may occur very early

in development as shifts between high- and low-contact offspring in the expression of developmentally regulated genes and variation in prelimbic and anterior cingulate cortical thickness emerge as soon at postnatal day 1.²⁰⁰ Although it remains to be determined how these changes relate to alterations in juvenile and adult social behavior, such cortical reorganization in response to early tactile stimulation is likely a critical feature of social development.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

Overall, there is support within the literature for the hypothesis that early life environments that are characterized by social complexity can induce changes within the brain that support the development of social behavior. However, the current range of paradigms for modeling social complexity are limited and, in many cases, represent a modest departure from deprivation rather than creating the type of complexity that is characteristic of naturalistic environments. This may be a critical consideration in the design of future studies that explore the biology of social behavior. The dependence of the social brain on complex and stimulating early life environments is evident, yet laboratory rodents are typically deprived of this social and sensory stimulation during development. However, these deficits can be overcome by changes to housing and rearing conditions that increase variability. Beyond the animal welfare benefits of this shift, increasing social and physical complexity of the early life environment is more likely to expand the translational potential of these lab-based approaches when modeling the nuanced and contextually dependent social behavior of humans. Moreover, there is evidence that the impacts of early life social complexity can extend across multiple generations. 28,95 resulting in the generational transmission of neurobiological and behavioral patterns that better support the ability of individuals to navigate social relationships that vary over time and contexts.

Though there are multiple strategies for assessing social behavior (see Table 1), the degree to which these tests assess complex social behavior varies. In many cases, these tests will be impacted by general aversion or motivation to explore a novel stimulus. Approach versus avoidance in a social context can be difficult to disentangle from similar behavioral responses with nonsocial stimuli. Similarly, aggression in a brief interaction may indicate acute social stress responses but may not provide insights into the way an individual's aggressive behavior changes over time in response to social cues and contexts. These more nuanced and temporally sensitive social behavior changes are an indication of "social competence" rather than any single element of social behavior and depend on the neuroplasticity that can be established during development. The study of social competence can be supported through the use of emerging tracking and behavioral quantification technologies and analytic approaches that enable the study of group-housed individuals over time as they navigate social relationships. However, the challenges to implementing these tools (i.e., housing space limitations, analytical expertise, and infrastructure) must be carefully considered to determine feasibility. Future research exploring the developmental and neurobiological mechanisms supporting the expression of social behavior can make use of these tools that allow for a more naturalistic study of laboratory animals. Finally, most studies have relied on examining social behavior outcomes of early life manipulations at singular or very few timepoints in adulthood. There is a critical need to understand how complex social behavior and competence emerge over time by examining the developmental trajectories of not just behavior but also transcriptional regulation and neural circuit maturation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.P.C. and F.A.C. conceived the topic, drafted the manuscript, and revised the manuscript. The manuscript was approved by both authors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (1R01ES030950-01A1).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ORCID

Frances A. Champagne https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3922-084X

PEER REVIEW

The peer review history for this article is available at: https://publons.com/publon/10.1111/nyas.15076

REFERENCES

- Robinson, G. E., Fernald, R. D., & Clayton, D. F. (2008). Genes and social behavior. *Science*, 322(5903), 896–900. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1159277
- Lee, N. S., & Beery, A. K. (2019). Neural circuits underlying rodent sociality: A comparative approach. *Current Topics in Behavioral Neuro*sciences, 43, 211–238. https://doi.org/10.1007/7854_2018_77
- Kappeler, P. M., Barrett, L., Blumstein, D. T., & Clutton-Brock, T. H. (2013). Constraints and flexibility in mammalian social behaviour: Introduction and synthesis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 368(1618), 20120337. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0337
- Ahern, T. H., Olsen, S., Tudino, R., & Beery, A. (2021). Natural variation in the oxytocin receptor gene and rearing interact to influence reproductive and nonreproductive social behavior and receptor binding. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 128, 105209. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.psyneuen.2021.105209
- Champagne, F. A. (2010). Epigenetic influence of social experiences across the lifespan. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 52(4), 299–311. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.20436
- Bremner, A. J., & Spence, C. (2017). The development of tactile perception. Advances in Child Development and Behavior, 52, 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.acdb.2016.12.002
- Lickliter, R. (2011). The integrated development of sensory organization. Clinics in Perinatology, 38(4), 591–603. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.clp.2011.08.007
- Beauchamp, G. K., & Mennella, J. A. (2009). Early flavor learning and its impact on later feeding behavior. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition*, 48(1), S25–S30. https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG. 0b013e31819774a5
- 9. Meaney, & M. J. (2001). Maternal care, gene expression, and the transmission of individual differences in stress reactivity across

7496632, 2023, 1, Downloaded from https://nyaspubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nyas.15076, Wiley Online Library on [05/01/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons Licesensether Commons Licesen

- generations, Annual Review of Neuroscience, 24, 1161-1192, https:// doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.1161
- 10. Champagne, F. A., Francis, D. D., Mar, A., & Meaney, M. J. (2003). Variations in maternal care in the rat as a mediating influence for the effects of environment on development. Physiology & Behavior, 79(3), 359-371.
- 11. Tottenham, N. (2015). Social scaffolding of human amygdalamPFCcircuit development. Social Neuroscience, 10(5), 489-499. https://doi.org/10.1080/17470919.2015.1087424
- 12. Litvin, Y., Tovote, P., Pentkowski, N. S., Zeyda, T., King, L. B., Vasconcellos, A. J., Dunlap, C., Spiess, J., Blanchard, D. C., & Blanchard, R. J. (2010). Maternal separation modulates short-term behavioral and physiological indices of the stress response. Hormones and Behavior, 58(2), 241-249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.03.
- 13. Walker, C.-D., Bath, K. G., Joels, M., Korosi, A., Larauche, M., Lucassen, P. J., Morris, M. J., Raineki, C., Roth, T. L., Sullivan, R. M., Taché, Y., & Baram, T. Z. (2017). Chronic early life stress induced by limited bedding and nesting (LBN) material in rodents: Critical considerations of methodology, outcomes and translational potential. Stress, 20(5), 421-448. https://doi.org/10.1080/10253890.2017.1343296
- 14. Orso, R., Creutzberg, K. C., Wearick-Silva, L. E., Wendt Viola, T., Tractenberg, S. G., Benetti, F., & Grassi-Oliveira, R. (2019). How early life stress impact maternal care: A systematic review of rodent studies. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 13, 197. https://www. frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnbeh.2019.00197
- 15. Walker, D. M., Cunningham, A. M., Gregory, J. K., & Nestler, E. J. (2019). Long-term behavioral effects of post-weaning social isolation in males and females. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 13, 66. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnbeh.2019.00066
- 16. Suomi, S. J. (1991). Early stress and adult emotional reactivity in rhesus monkeys. In Ciba Foundation Symposium 156-The Childhood Environment and Adult Disease (pp. 171-188). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470514047.ch11
- 17. Rutter, M. (2006). The psychological effects of early institutional rearing. In P. J. Marshall, & N. A. Fox (Eds.), The development of social engagement: Neurobiological perspectives (pp. 355-391). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195168716. 003.0013
- 18. Beery, A. K., Holmes, M. M., Lee, W., & Curley, J. P. (2020). Stress in groups: Lessons from non-traditional rodent species and housing models. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 113, 354-372. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.03.033
- 19. Balcombe, J. P. (2006). Laboratory environments and rodents' behavioural needs: A review. Laboratory Animals, 40(3), 217-235. https://doi.org/10.1258/002367706777611488
- 20. Levine, S. (1967). Maternal and environmental influences on the adrenocortical response to stress in weanling rats. Science, 156(3772), 258-260. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.156.3772. 258
- 21. Liu, D., Diorio, J., Tannenbaum, B., Caldji, C., Francis, D., Freedman, A., Sharma, S., Pearson, D., Plotsky, P. M., & Meaney, M. J. (1997). Maternal care, hippocampal glucocorticoid receptors, and hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal responses to stress. Science, 277(5332), 1659-
- 22. Kosten, T. A., & Kehoe, P. (2010). Immediate and enduring effects of neonatal isolation on maternal behavior in rats. International Journal of Developmental Neuroscience, 28(1), 53-61. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ijdevneu.2009.09.005
- 23. Gubernick, D. J., & Alberts, J. R. (1985). Maternal licking by virgin and lactating rats: Water transfer from pups. Physiology & Behavior, 34(4), 501-506. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384(85)90040-x
- 24. Champagne, F. A., Curley, J. P., Keverne, E. B., & Bateson, P. P. G. (2007). Natural variations in postpartum maternal care in inbred and

- outbred mice. Physiology & Behavior, 91(2-3), 325-334, https://doi. org/10.1016/i.physbeh.2007.03.014
- 25. Weaver, I. C. G., Cervoni, N., Champagne, F. A., D'Alessio, A. C., Sharma, S., Seckl, J. R., Dymov, S., Szyf, M., & Meaney, M. J. (2004). Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. Nature Neuroscience, 7(8), 847-854. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1276
- 26. Champagne, F. A., Weaver, I. C. G., Diorio, J., Sharma, S., & Meaney, M. J. (2003). Natural variations in maternal care are associated with estrogen receptor alpha expression and estrogen sensitivity in the medial preoptic area. Endocrinology, 144(11), 4720-4724. https://doi. org/10.1210/en.2003-0564
- 27. Curley, J. P., Champagne, F. A., & Bateson, P. (2007). Communal nesting induces alternative emotional, social and maternal behavior in offspring and grand-offspring. Developmental Psychobiology, 49(7),
- 28. Champagne, F. A., & Meaney, M. J. (2007). Transgenerational effects of social environment on variations in maternal care and behavioral response to novelty. Behavioral Neuroscience, 121(6), 1353-1363. https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7044.121.6.1353
- 29. Roberge, C. L., Wallin, C. M., Tilson, K., & Brummelte, S. (2021). A nutty idea: Exploring a novel method using a hazelnut cocoa spread to temporarily increase maternal care behavior within a rat litter. Developmental Psychobiology, 63(5), 1606-1610. https://doi.org/10.1002/ dev.22114
- 30. Ostermeyer, M. C., & Elwood, R. W. (1983). Pup recognition in Mus musculus: Parental discrimination between their own and alien young. Developmental Psychobiology, 16(2), 75-82. https://doi.org/10.1002/ dev.420160202
- 31. Moore, C. L., & Morelli, G. A. (1979). Mother rats interact differently with male and female offspring. Journal of Comparative and Physiological Psychology, 93(4), 677-684. https://doi.org/10.1037/ h0077599
- 32. Rosenblatt, J. S. (1969). The development of maternal responsiveness in the rat. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 39(1), 36-56. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-0025.1969.tb00619.x
- 33. Peña, C. J., Neugut, Y. D., & Champagne, F. A. (2013). Developmental timing of the effects of maternal care on gene expression and epigenetic regulation of hormone receptor levels in female rats. Endocrinology, 154(11), 4340-4351. https://doi.org/10.1210/en. 2013-1595
- 34. Darnaudéry, M., Koehl, M., Barbazanges, A., Cabib, S., Le Moal, M., & Maccari, S. (2004). Early and later adoptions differently modify mother-pup interactions. Behavioral Neuroscience, 118, 590-596. https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7044.118.3.590
- 35. Myers, M. M., Brunelli, S. A., Shair, H. N., Squire, J. M., & Hofer, M. A. (1989). Relationships between maternal behavior of SHR and WKY dams and adult blood pressures of cross-fostered F1 pups. Developmental Psychobiology, 22(1), 55-67. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev. 420220105
- 36. Francis, D. D., Szegda, K., Campbell, G., Martin, W. D., & Insel, T. R. (2003). Epigenetic sources of behavioral differences in mice. Nature Neuroscience, 6(5), 445-446. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1038
- 37. Cohen, J. L., Glover, M. E., Pugh, P. C., Fant, A. D., Simmons, R. K., Akil, H., Kerman, I. A., & Clinton, S. M. (2015). Maternal style selectively shapes amygdalar development and social behavior in rats genetically prone to high anxiety. Developmental Neuroscience, 37(3), 203-214. https://doi.org/10.1159/000374108
- 38. McCarty, R. (2017). Cross-fostering: Elucidating the effects of genexenvironment interactions on phenotypic development. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 73, 219-254. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.neubiorev.2016.12.025
- 39. Parra-Vargas, M., Bouret, S. G., Bruning, J. C., de Moura, E. G., Garland, T., Lisboa, P. C., Ozanne, S. E., Patti, M.-E., Plagemann, A., Speakman, J. R., Tena-Sempere, M., Vergely, C., Zeltser, L. M., & Jiménez-Chillarón,

- J. C. (2023). The long-lasting shadow of litter size in rodents: Litter size is an underreported variable that strongly determines adult physiology. *Molecular Metabolism*, 71, 101707. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2023.101707
- Matthews, P. A., Samuelsson, A.-M., Seed, P., Pombo, J., Oben, J. A., Poston, L., & Taylor, P. D. (2011). Fostering in mice induces cardiovascular and metabolic dysfunction in adulthood. *Journal of Physiology*, 589(16), 3969–3981. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2011. 212324
- 41. Hayes, L. D. (2000). To nest communally or not to nest communally: A review of rodent communal nesting and nursing. *Animal Behaviour*, 59(4), 677–688. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1999.1390
- 42. Sayler, A., & Salmon, M. (1971). An ethological analysis of communal nursing by the house mouse (*Mus musculus*). *Behaviour*, 40(1–2), 62–84. https://doi.org/10.1163/156853971x00339
- Branchi, I., Curley, J. P., D'Andrea, I., Cirulli, F., Champagne, F. A., & Alleva, E. (2013). Early interactions with mother and peers independently build adult social skills and shape BDNF and oxytocin receptor brain levels. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 38(4), 522–532.
- 44. Garbugino, L., Centofante, E., & D'Amato, F. R. (2016). Early social enrichment improves social motivation and skills in a monogenic mouse model of autism, the Oprm1^{-/-} mouse. Neural Plasticity, 2016, e5346161. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/5346161
- Braun, K., & Champagne, F. A. (2014). Paternal influences on offspring development: Behavioural and epigenetic pathways. *Journal* of *Neuroendocrinology*, 26(10), 697–706. https://doi.org/10.1111/jne. 12174
- Piovanotti, M. R. A., & Vieira, M. L. (2004). Presence of the father and parental experience have differentiated effects on pup development in Mongolian gerbils (*Meriones unguiculatus*). *Behavioural Pro*cesses, 66(2), 107–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2004.01. 007
- Liang, M., Zhong, J., Liu, H.-X., Lopatina, O., Nakada, R., Yamauchi, A.-M., & Higashida, H. (2014). Pairmate-dependent pup retrieval as parental behavior in male mice. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 8, 186. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2014.00186
- Liu, H.-X., Lopatina, O., Higashida, C., Fujimoto, H., Akther, S., Inzhutova, A., Liang, M., Zhong, J., Tsuji, T., Yoshihara, T., Sumi, K., Ishiyama, M., Ma, W.-J., Ozaki, M., Yagitani, S., Yokoyama, S., Mukaida, N., Sakurai, T., Hori, O., ... Higashida, H. (2013). Displays of paternal mouse pup retrieval following communicative interaction with maternal mates. *Nature Communications*, 4, 1346. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2336
- Bloomsmith, M. A., Perlman, J. E., Hutchinson, E., & Sharpless, M. (2018). Behavioral management programs to promote laboratory animal welfare. In R. H. Weichbrod, G. A. (Heidbrink) Thompson, & J. N. Norton (Eds.), Management of animal care and use programs in research, education, and testing (2nd ed.). CRC Press/Taylor & Francis. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK500424/
- 50. Roy, V., Belzung, C., Delarue, C., & Chapillon, P. (2001). Environmental enrichment in BALB/c mice: Effects in classical tests of anxiety and exposure to a predatory odor. *Physiology & Behavior*, 74(3), 313–320. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9384(01)00561-3
- 51. Ramírez-Rodríguez, G. B., Gutiérrez-Vera, B., Ortiz-López, L., Vega-Rivera, N. M., Juan, D. M.-S., Granados-Juárez, A., Aquino, D. V. C., Castro-García, M., & Ramos, M. F. (2022). Environmental enrichment: Dissociated effects between physical activity and changing environmental complexity on anxiety and neurogenesis in adult male Balb/C mice. *Physiology & Behavior*, 254, 113878. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2022.113878
- Schmidt, S., Haase, M., Best, L., Groth, M., Lindner, J., Witte, O. W., Kaleta, C., & Frahm, C. (2022). Restoring age-related cognitive decline through environmental enrichment: A transcriptomic approach. *Cells*, 11(23), 3864. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cells11233864

- Sale, A. (2018). A systematic look at environmental modulation and its impact in brain development. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 41(1), 4–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.tins.2017.10.004
- Nithianantharajah, J., & Hannan, A. J. (2006). Enriched environments, experience-dependent plasticity and disorders of the nervous system. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 7(9), 9. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrn1970
- Bodden, C., Wewer, M., Kästner, N., Palme, R., Kaiser, S., Sachser, N., & Richter, S. H. (2021). Not all mice are alike: Mixed-strain housing alters social behaviour. *Physiology & Behavior*, 228, 113220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2020.113220
- Curley, J. P., Rock, V., Moynihan, A. M., Bateson, P., Keverne, E. B., & Champagne, F. A. (2010). Developmental shifts in the behavioral phenotypes of inbred mice: The role of postnatal and juvenile social experiences. *Behavior Genetics*, 40(2), 220–232. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10519-010-9334-4
- Holmes, A., le Guisquet, A. M., Vogel, E., Millstein, R. A., Leman, S., & Belzung, C. (2005). Early life genetic, epigenetic and environmental factors shaping emotionality in rodents. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 29(8), 1335–1346. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neubiorev.2005.04.012
- Vanderschuren, L. J. M. J., & Trezza, V. (2014). What the laboratory rat has taught us about social play behavior: Role in behavioral development and neural mechanisms. In S. L. Andersen, & D. S. Pine (Eds.), The neurobiology of childhood (pp. 189–212). Springer. https://doi.org/10. 1007/7854_2013_268
- Trezza, V., Campolongo, P., & Vanderschuren, L. J. M. J. (2011). Evaluating the rewarding nature of social interactions in laboratory animals. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 1(4), 444–458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2011.05.007
- Gusmão, I. D., Monteiro, B. M. M., Cornélio, G. O. S., Fonseca, C. S., Moraes, M. F. D., & Pereira, G. S. (2012). Odor-enriched environment rescues long-term social memory, but does not improve olfaction in social isolated adult mice. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 228(2), 440–446. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2011.12.040
- Jabarin, R., Netser, S., & Wagner, S. (2022). Beyond the threechamber test: Toward a multimodal and objective assessment of social behavior in rodents. *Molecular Autism*, 13(1), 41. https://doi. org/10.1186/s13229-022-00521-6
- Acikgoz, B., Dalkiran, B., & Dayi, A. (2022). An overview of the currency and usefulness of behavioral tests used from past to present to assess anxiety, social behavior and depression in rats and mice. *Behavioural Processes*, 200, 104670. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. beproc.2022.104670
- Williamson, C. M., Lee, W., & Curley, J. P. (2016). Temporal dynamics of social hierarchy formation and maintenance in male mice. *Animal Behaviour*, 115, 259–272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav. 2016.03.004
- Lee, W., Fu, J., Bouwman, N., Farago, P., & Curley, J. P. (2019). Temporal microstructure of dyadic social behavior during relationship formation in mice. *PLoS ONE*, 14(12), e0220596. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0220596
- Curley, J. P. (2016). Temporal pairwise-correlation analysis provides empirical support for attention hierarchies in mice. *Biology Letters*, 12(5), 20160192. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2016.0192
- Kondrakiewicz, K., Kostecki, M., Szadzińska, W., & Knapska, E. (2019). Ecological validity of social interaction tests in rats and mice. Genes, Brain and Behavior, 18(1), e12525. https://doi.org/10.1111/ gbb.12525
- Karamihalev, S., Brivio, E., Flachskamm, C., Stoffel, R., Schmidt, M. V., & Chen, A. (2020). Social dominance mediates behavioral adaptation to chronic stress in a sex-specific manner. *eLife*, 9, e58723. https://doi. org/10.7554/eLife.58723
- Hathaway, G. A., Faykoo-Martinez, M., Peragine, D. E., Mooney, S. J.,
 Holmes, M. M. (2016). Subcaste differences in neural activation

- suggest a prosocial role for oxytocin in eusocial naked mole-rats. Hormones and Behavior, 79, 1-7, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vhbeh.2015. 12.001
- 69. Holmes, M. M., & Goldman, B. D. (2021). Social behavior in naked mole-rats: Individual differences in phenotype and proximate mechanisms of mammalian eusociality. In R. Buffenstein, T. J., Park, & M. M., Holmes (Eds.), The extraordinary biology of the naked mole-rat (pp. 35-58). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-65943-1 2
- 70. Bordes, J., Miranda, L., Müller-Myhsok, B., & Schmidt, M. V. (2023). Advancing social behavioral neuroscience by integrating ethology and comparative psychology methods through machine learning. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 151, 105243. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2023.105243
- 71. Nilsson, S. R., Goodwin, N. L., Choong, J. J., Hwang, S., Wright, H. R., Norville, Z. C., Tong, X., Lin, D., Bentzley, B. S., Eshel, N., McLaughlin, R. J., & Golden, S. A. (2020). Simple Behavioral Analysis (SimBA) -An open source toolkit for computer classification of complex social behaviors in experimental animals (p. 2020.04.19.049452). bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.19.049452
- 72. Todeschin, A. S., Winkelmann-Duarte, E. C., Jacob, M. H. V., Aranda, B. C. C., Jacobs, S., Fernandes, M. C., Ribeiro, M. F. M., Sanvitto, G. L., & Lucion, A. B. (2009). Effects of neonatal handling on social memory, social interaction, and number of oxytocin and vasopressin neurons in rats. Hormones and Behavior, 56(1), 93-100. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2009.03.006
- 73. Tang, A. C., Reeb, B. C., Romeo, R. D., & McEwen, B. S. (2003). Modification of social memory, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, and brain asymmetry by neonatal novelty exposure. Journal of Neuroscience, 23(23), 8254-8260. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 23-23-08254.2003
- 74. van Hasselt, F. N., Tieskens, J. M., Trezza, V., Krugers, H. J., Vanderschuren, L. J. M. J., & Joëls, M. (2012). Within-litter variation in maternal care received by individual pups correlates with adolescent social play behavior in male rats. Physiology & Behavior, 106(5), 701-706. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.12.007
- 75. Franks, B., Champagne, F. A., & Curley, J. P. (2015). Postnatal maternal care predicts divergent weaning strategies and the development of social behavior. Developmental Psychobiology, 57(7), 809-817. https:// doi.org/10.1002/dev.21326
- 76. Perkeybile, A., Griffin, L., & Bales, K. (2013). Natural variation in early parental care correlates with social behaviors in adolescent prairie voles (Microtus ochrogaster). Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 7, 21.https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnbeh.2013.00021
- 77. Parent, C. I., & Meaney, M. J. (2008). The influence of natural variations in maternal care on play fighting in the rat. Developmental Psychobiology, 50(8), 767-776. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.20342
- 78. Parent, C. I., Del Corpo, A., Cameron, N. M., & Meaney, M. J. (2013). Maternal care associates with play dominance rank among adult female rats. Developmental Psychobiology, 55(7), 745-756. https://doi. org/10.1002/dev.21070
- 79. Birke, L. I. A., & Sadler, D. (1987). Differences in maternal behavior of rats and the sociosexual development of the offspring. Developmental Psychobiology, 20(1), 85-99. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev. 420200111
- 80. Moore, C. L., & Power, K. L. (1992). Variation in maternal care and individual differences in play, exploration, and grooming of juvenile Norway rat offspring. Developmental Psychobiology, 25(3), 165-182. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.420250303
- 81. Starr-Phillips, E. J., & Beery, A. K. (2014). Natural variation in maternal care shapes adult social behavior in rats. Developmental Psychobiology, 56(5), 1017-1026. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21182
- 82. Asadi, E., Khodagholi, F., Asadi, S., Mohammadi Kamsorkh, H., Kaveh, N., & Maleki, A. (2021). Quality of early-life maternal care predicts empathy-like behavior in adult male rats: Linking empathy to BDNF

- gene expression in associated brain regions. Brain Research, 1767. 147568. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.brainres.2021.147568
- 83. Bales, K., Boone, E., Epperson, P., Hoffman, G., & Carter, C. (2011). Are behavioral effects of early experience mediated by oxytocin? Frontiers in Psychiatry, 2, 24.https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10. 3389/fpsyt.2011.00024
- 84. Stone, A. I., & Bales, K. L. (2010). Intergenerational transmission of the behavioral consequences of early experience in prairie voles. Behavioural Processes, 84(3), 732-738. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. beproc.2010.05.004
- 85. Bales, K. L., Lewis-Reese, A. D., Pfeifer, L. A., Kramer, K. M., & Carter, C. S. (2007). Early experience affects the traits of monogamy in a sexually dimorphic manner. Developmental Psychobiology, 49(4), 335-342. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.20216
- 86. Liu, Y.-J., Zhang, Y.-H., Li, L.-F., Du, R.-Q., Zhang, J.-H., & Zhang, J.-X. (2016). Cross-fostering of male mice subtly affects female olfactory preferences. PLoS ONE, 11(1), e0146662. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0146662
- 87. Asaba, A., Okabe, S., Nagasawa, M., Kato, M., Koshida, N., Osakada, T., Mogi, K., & Kikusui, T. (2014). Developmental social environment imprints female preference for male song in mice. PLoS ONE, 9(2), e87186. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0087186
- 88. Gauthier, A. C., DeAngeli, N. E., & Bucci, D. J. (2015). Crossfostering differentially affects ADHD-related behaviors in spontaneously hypertensive rats. Developmental Psychobiology, 57(2), 226-236. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21286
- 89. Zhu, Y., Wang, Y., Wang, Y., Feng, Y., Wang, Q., Wang, Q., Tian, Y., Tai, F., & Jia, R. (2023). Effects of cross-fostering experience on emotion in adolescent Kunming mice. Behaviour, 160(2), 169-190. https://doi. org/10.1163/1568539X-bja10200
- 90. Cox, K. H., So, N. L. T., & Rissman, E. F. (2013). Foster dams rear fighters: Strain-specific effects of within-strain fostering on aggressive behavior in male mice. PLoS ONE, 8(9), e75037. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0075037
- 91. Bester-Meredith, J. K., & Marler, C. A. (2001). Vasopressin and aggression in cross-fostered California mice (Peromyscus californicus) and white-footed mice (Peromyscus leucopus). Hormones and Behavior, 40(1), 51-64. https://doi.org/10.1006/hbeh.2001.1666
- 92. Martinez, A. R., Brunelli, S. A., & Zimmerberg, B. (2015). Communal nesting exerts epigenetic influences on affective and social behaviors in rats selectively bred for an infantile trait. Physiology & Behavior, 139, 97-103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.11.007
- 93. Branchi, I., & Alleva, E. (2006). Communal nesting, an early social enrichment, increases the adult anxiety-like response and shapes the role of social context in modulating the emotional behavior. Behavioural Brain Research, 172(2), 299-306. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.bbr.2006.05.019
- 94. D'Andrea, I., Alleva, E., & Branchi, I. (2007). Communal nesting, an early social enrichment, affects social competences but not learning and memory abilities at adulthood. Behavioural Brain Research, 183(1), 60-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2007.05.029
- 95. Curley, J. P., Davidson, S., Bateson, P., & Champagne, F. A. (2009). Social enrichment during postnatal development induces transgenerational effects on emotional and reproductive behavior in mice. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 3, 25. https://doi.org/10.3389/ neuro.08.025.2009
- 96. Branchi, I., D'Andrea, I., Fiore, M., Di Fausto, V., Aloe, L., & Alleva, E. (2006). Early social enrichment shapes social behavior and nerve growth factor and brain-derived neurotrophic factor levels in the adult mouse brain. Biological Psychiatry, 60(7), 690-696. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.01.005
- 97. Branchi, I., Santarelli, S., D'Andrea, I., & Alleva, E. (2013). Not all stressors are equal: Early social enrichment favors resilience to social but not physical stress in male mice. Hormones and Behavior, 63(3), 503-509. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2013.01.003

- Bales, K. L., & Saltzman, W. (2016). Fathering in rodents: Neurobiological substrates and consequences for offspring. Hormones and Behavior, 77, 249–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2015.05.
- 99. Yu, P., An, S., Tai, F., Zhang, X., He, F., Wang, J., An, X., & Wu, R. (2012). The effects of neonatal paternal deprivation on pair bonding, NAcc dopamine receptor mRNA expression and serum corticosterone in mandarin voles. *Hormones and Behavior*, 61(5), 669–677. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.02.028
- 100. Ahern, T., & Young, L. (2009). The impact of early life family structure on adult social attachment, alloparental behavior, and the neuropeptide systems regulating affiliative behaviors in the monogamous prairie vole (*Microtus ochrogaster*). Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 3, 17.https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/neuro. 08.017.2009
- Ahern, T. H., Hammock, E. A. D., & Young, L. J. (2011). Parental division of labor, coordination, and the effects of family structure on parenting in monogamous prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). *Developmental Psychobiology*, 53(2), 118–131. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.20498
- 102. Rogers, F. D., & Bales, K. L. (2020). Revisiting paternal absence: Female alloparental replacement of fathers recovers partner preference formation in female, but not male prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). *Developmental Psychobiology*, 62(5), 573–590. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21943
- Rogers, F. D., Freeman, S. M., Anderson, M., Palumbo, M. C., & Bales, K. L. (2021). Compositional variation in early-life parenting structures alters oxytocin and vasopressin 1a receptor development in prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). *Journal of Neuroendocrinology*, 33(8), e13001. https://doi.org/10.1111/jne.13001
- 104. Cao, Y., Wu, R., Tai, F., Zhang, X., Yu, P., An, X., Qiao, X., & Hao, P. (2014). Neonatal paternal deprivation impairs social recognition and alters levels of oxytocin and estrogen receptor α mRNA expression in the MeA and NAcc, and serum oxytocin in mandarin voles. *Hormones and Behavior*, 65(1), 57–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2013.11.
- 105. He, Z., Guo, Q., Yang, Y., Wang, L., Zhang, S., Yuan, W., Li, L., Zhang, J., Hou, W., Yang, J., Jia, R., & Tai, F. (2018). Pre-weaning paternal deprivation impairs social recognition and alters hippocampal neurogenesis and spine density in adult mandarin voles. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 155, 452–462. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm. 2018.09.006
- 106. Wang, J., Tai, F., Yan, X., & Yu, P. (2012). Paternal deprivation alters play-fighting, serum corticosterone and the expression of hypothalamic vasopressin and oxytocin in juvenile male mandarin voles. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*, 198(11), 787–796. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00359-012-0748-8
- Jia, R., Tai, F., An, S., Zhang, X., & Broders, H. (2009). Effects of neonatal paternal deprivation or early deprivation on anxiety and social behaviors of the adults in mandarin voles. *Behavioural Processes*, 82(3), 271–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2009.07.006
- 108. He, Z., Young, L., Ma, X.-M., Guo, Q., Wang, L., Yang, Y., Luo, L., Yuan, W., Li, L., Zhang, J., Hou, W., Qiao, H., Jia, R., & Tai, F. (2019). Increased anxiety and decreased sociability induced by paternal deprivation involve the PVN-PrL OTergic pathway. *eLife*, 8, e44026. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.44026
- Walker, S. L., Sud, N., Beyene, R., Palin, N., & Glasper, E. R. (2023). Paternal deprivation induces vigilance-avoidant behavior and accompanies sex-specific alterations in stress reactivity and central proinflammatory cytokine response in California mice (*Peromyscus californicus*). *Psychopharmacology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-023-06354-2
- 110. Tabbaa, M., Lei, K., Liu, Y., & Wang, Z. (2017). Paternal deprivation affects social behaviors and neurochemical systems in the offspring of socially monogamous prairie voles. *Neuroscience*, 343, 284–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.12.011

- Frazier, C. R. M., Trainor, B. C., Cravens, C. J., Whitney, T. K., & Marler, C. A. (2006). Paternal behavior influences development of aggression and vasopressin expression in male California mouse offspring. Hormones and Behavior, 50(5), 699–707. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vhbeh.2006.06.035
- 112. Becker, E. A., Leithead, A. B., Libo, N., Kumerow, M. T., Goetsch, L., & Marler, C. A. (2021). Transmission of paternal retrieval behavior from fathers to sons in a biparental rodent. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 63(6), e22164. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.22164
- Leithead, A. B., Yohn, C. N., & Becker, E. A. (2021). Early paternal retrieval experience influences the degree of maternal retrieval behavior in adult California mice offspring. *Behavioural Processes*, 193, 104506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2021.104506
- 114. Danoff, J. S., Ramos, E. N., Hinton, T. D., Perkeybile, A. M., Graves, A. J., Quinn, G. C., Lightbody-Cimer, A. R., Gordevičius, J., Milčiūtė, M., Brooke, R. T., Carter, C. S., Bales, K. L., Erisir, A., & Connelly, J. J. (2023). Father's care uniquely influences male neurodevelopment. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120(31), e2308798120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2308798120
- 115. Perkeybile, A. M., Delaney-Busch, N., Hartman, S., Grimm, K. J., & Bales, K. L. (2015). Intergenerational transmission of alloparental behavior and oxytocin and vasopressin receptor distribution in the prairie vole. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 9, 191. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00191
- 116. Arias Del Razo, R., & Bales, K. L. (2016). Exploration in a dispersal task: Effects of early experience and correlation with other behaviors in prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). *Behavioural Processes*, 132, 66–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2016.10.002
- 117. Laviola, G., Rea, M., Morley-Fletcher, S., Di Carlo, S., Bacosi, A., De Simone, R., Bertini, M., & Pacifici, R. (2004). Beneficial effects of enriched environment on adolescent rats from stressed pregnancies. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 20(6), 1655–1664. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2004.03597.x
- 118. Morley-Fletcher, S., Rea, M., Maccari, S., & Laviola, G. (2003). Environmental enrichment during adolescence reverses the effects of prenatal stress on play behaviour and HPA axis reactivity in rats. European Journal of Neuroscience, 18(12), 3367–3374. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2003.03070.x
- Neugebauer, N. M., Cunningham, S. T., Zhu, J., Bryant, R. I., Middleton, L. S., & Dwoskin, L. P. (2004). Effects of environmental enrichment on behavior and dopamine transporter function in medial prefrontal cortex in adult rats prenatally treated with cocaine. *Brain Research Developmental Brain Research*, 153(2), 213–223. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.devbrainres.2004.09.001
- Schneider, T., Turczak, J., & Przewłocki, R. (2006). Environmental enrichment reverses behavioral alterations in rats prenatally exposed to valproic acid: Issues for a therapeutic approach in autism. Neuropsychopharmacology, 31(1), 36–46. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1300767
- Schneider, T., & Przewłocki, R. (2005). Behavioral alterations in rats prenatally exposed to valproic acid: Animal model of autism. *Neu-ropsychopharmacology*, 30(1), 80–89. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp. 1300518
- 122. Peña, Y., Prunell, M., Dimitsantos, V., Nadal, R., & Escorihuela, R. M. (2006). Environmental enrichment effects in social investigation in rats are gender dependent. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 174(1), 181–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2006.07.007
- 123. Brenes, J. C., Lackinger, M., Höglinger, G. U., Schratt, G., Schwarting, R. K. W., & Wöhr, M. (2016). Differential effects of social and physical environmental enrichment on brain plasticity, cognition, and ultrasonic communication in rats. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 524(8), 1586–1607. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.23842
- Renner, M. J., & Rosenzweig, M. R. (1986). Social interactions among rats housed in grouped and enriched conditions. *Developmental Psy*chobiology, 19(4), 303–313. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.420190403

- 125. Lukkes, J., Vuong, S., Scholl, J., Oliver, H., & Forster, G. (2009). Corticotropin-releasing factor receptor antagonism within the dorsal raphe nucleus reduces social anxiety-like behavior after early-life social isolation. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 29(32), 9955–9960. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0854-09.2009
- 126. Hol, T., Van den Berg, C. L., Van Ree, J. M., & Spruijt, B. M. (1999). Isolation during the play period in infancy decreases adult social interactions in rats. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 100(1), 91–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4328(98)00116-8
- Onyekwere, D. I., & Ramírez, J. M. (1993). Play fighting versus serious fighting in golden hamsters (*Mesocricetus auratus*). Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society, 31(6), 503–506. https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03337336
- 128. van den Berg, C. L., Hol, T., van Ree, J. M., Spruijt, B. M., Everts, H., & Koolhaas, J. M. (1999). Play is indispensable for an adequate development of coping with social challenges in the rat. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 34(2), 129–138. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2302(199903)34:2(129::AID-DEV6)3.0.CO;2-L
- Meng, Q., Li, N., Han, X., Shao, F., & Wang, W. (2010). Peri-adolescence isolation rearing alters social behavior and nociception in rats. *Neuro-science Letters*, 480(1), 25–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2010. 05.067
- 130. Burleson, C. A., Pedersen, R. W., Seddighi, S., DeBusk, L. E., Burghardt, G. M., & Cooper, M. A. (2016). Social play in juvenile hamsters alters dendritic morphology in the medial prefrontal cortex and attenuates effects of social stress in adulthood. *Behavioral Neuroscience*, 130(4), 437–447. https://doi.org/10.1037/bne0000148
- Kyle, S. C., Burghardt, G. M., & Cooper, M. A. (2019). Development of social play in hamsters: Sex differences and their possible functions. *Brain Research*, 1712, 217–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres. 2019.02.012
- 132. Connors, E. J., Shaik, A. N., Migliore, M. M., & Kentner, A. C. (2014). Environmental enrichment mitigates the sex-specific effects of gestational inflammation on social engagement and the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis-feedback system. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 42, 178–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2014.06.020
- 133. Connors, E. J., Migliore, M. M., Pillsbury, S. L., Shaik, A. N., & Kentner, A. C. (2015). Environmental enrichment models a naturalistic form of maternal separation and shapes the anxiety response patterns of offspring. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 52, 153–167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2014.10.021
- 134. Strzelewicz, A. R., Ordoñes Sanchez, E., Rondón-Ortiz, A. N., Raneri, A., Famularo, S. T., Bangasser, D. A., & Kentner, A. C. (2019). Access to a high resource environment protects against accelerated maturation following early life stress: A translational animal model of high, medium and low security settings. *Hormones and Behavior*, 111, 46–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2019.01.003
- 135. DeRosa, H., Caradonna, S. G., Tran, H., Marrocco, J., & Kentner, A. C. (2022). Milking it for all it's worth: The effects of environmental enrichment on maternal nurturance, lactation quality, and offspring social behavior. eNeuro, 9(4), ENEURO.0148-22.2022. https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0148-22.2022
- 136. Schneider, P., Bindila, L., Schmahl, C., Bohus, M., Meyer-Lindenberg, A., Lutz, B., Spanagel, R., & Schneider, M. (2016). Adverse social experiences in adolescent rats result in enduring effects on social competence, pain sensitivity and endocannabinoid signaling. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 10, 203.https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnbeh.2016.00203
- 137. Stark, R., & Pellis, S. M. (2020). Male Long Evans rats reared with a Fischer-344 peer during the juvenile period show deficits in social competency: A role for play. *International Journal of Play*, 9(1), 76–91. https://doi.org/10.1080/21594937.2020.1720142
- 138. Stark, R. A., & Pellis, S. M. (2021). Using the 'stranger test' to assess social competency in adult female Long Evans rats reared with a Fis-

- cher 344 partner. Behavioural Processes, 192, 104492. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.beproc.2021.104492
- 139. Yang, M., Abrams, D. N., Zhang, J. Y., Weber, M. D., Katz, A. M., Clarke, A. M., Silverman, J. L., & Crawley, J. N. (2012). Low sociability in BTBR T+tf/J mice is independent of partner strain. *Physiology & Behavior*, 107(5), 649–662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.12.025
- Chen, P., & Hong, W. (2018). Neural circuit mechanisms of social behavior. Neuron, 98(1), 16–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron. 2018.02.026
- Veenema, A. H. (2012). Toward understanding how early-life social experiences alter oxytocin- and vasopressin-regulated social behaviors. Hormones and Behavior, 61(3), 304–312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2011.12.002
- 142. Bales, K. L., & Perkeybile, A. M. (2012). Developmental experiences and the oxytocin receptor system. Hormones and Behavior, 61(3), 313–319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2011.12.013
- 143. Francis, D. D., Young, L. J., Meaney, M. J., & Insel, T. R. (2002). Naturally occurring differences in maternal care are associated with the expression of oxytocin and vasopressin (V1a) receptors: Gender differences. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology*, 14(5), 349–353. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0007-1331.2002.00776.x
- 144. Oreland, S., Gustafsson-Ericson, L., & Nylander, I. (2010). Short- and long-term consequences of different early environmental conditions on central immunoreactive oxytocin and arginine vasopressin levels in male rats. *Neuropeptides*, 44(5), 391–398. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.npep.2010.06.001
- Onaka, T., & Takayanagi, Y. (2021). The oxytocin system and early-life experience-dependent plastic changes. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology*, 33(11), e13049. https://doi.org/10.1111/jne.13049
- 146. Neal, S., Kent, M., Bardi, M., & Lambert, K. G. (2018). Enriched environment exposure enhances social interactions and oxytocin responsiveness in male Long-Evans rats. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 12, 198.https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnbeh. 2018.00198
- 147. Joushi, S., Taherizadeh, Z., Esmaeilpour, K., & Sheibani, V. (2022). Environmental enrichment and intranasal oxytocin administration reverse maternal separation-induced impairments of prosocial choice behavior. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior*, 213, 173318. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2021.173318
- 148. Bales, K. L., Perkeybile, A. M., Conley, O. G., Lee, M. H., Guoynes, C. D., Downing, G. M., Yun, C. R., Solomon, M., Jacob, S., & Mendoza, S. P. (2013). Chronic intranasal oxytocin causes long-term impairments in partner preference formation in male prairie voles. *Biological Psychiatry*, 74(3), 180–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.08. 025
- 149. Insel, T. R., & Young, L. J. (2000). Neuropeptides and the evolution of social behavior. Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 10(6), 784–789. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(00)00146-X
- Lieberwirth, C., & Wang, Z. (2014). Social bonding: Regulation by neuropeptides. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 8, 171.https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2014.00171
- Liu, D., Diorio, J., Day, J. C., Francis, D. D., & Meaney, M. J. (2000).
 Maternal care, hippocampal synaptogenesis and cognitive development in rats. *Nature Neuroscience*, 3(8), 799–806. https://doi.org/10.1038/77702
- 152. Cirulli, F., Berry, A., Bonsignore, L. T., Capone, F., D'Andrea, I., Aloe, L., Branchi, I., & Alleva, E. (2010). Early life influences on emotional reactivity: Evidence that social enrichment has greater effects than handling on anxiety-like behaviors, neuroendocrine responses to stress and central BDNF levels. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 34(6), 808–820. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.02.008
- 153. Branchi, I., Karpova, N. N., D'Andrea, I., Castrén, E., & Alleva, E. (2011). Epigenetic modifications induced by early enrichment are associated with changes in timing of induction of BDNF expression. Neuroscience

- Letters, 495(3), 168–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2011.03.
- 154. Wu, R., Song, Z., Wang, S., Shui, L., Tai, F., Qiao, X., & He, F. (2014). Early paternal deprivation alters levels of hippocampal brain-derived neurotrophic factor and glucocorticoid receptor and serum corticosterone and adrenocorticotropin in a sex-specific way in socially monogamous mandarin voles. *Neuroendocrinology*, 100(2–3), 119–128. https://doi.org/10.1159/000366441
- 155. Gordon, N. S., Burke, S., Akil, H., Watson, S. J., & Panksepp, J. (2003). Socially-induced brain "fertilization": Play promotes brain derived neurotrophic factor transcription in the amygdala and dorsolateral frontal cortex in juvenile rats. *Neuroscience Letters*, 341(1), 17–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-3940(03)00158-7
- 156. Greenberg, G. D., van Westerhuyzen, J. A., Bales, K. L., & Trainor, B. C. (2012). Is it all in the family? The effects of early social structure on neural-behavioral systems of prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). *Neuroscience*, 216, 46–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.04.063
- 157. Mikics, É., Guirado, R., Umemori, J., Tóth, M., Biró, L., Miskolczi, C., Balázsfi, D., Zelena, D., Castrén, E., Haller, J., & Karpova, N. N. (2018). Social learning requires plasticity enhanced by fluoxetine through prefrontal Bdnf-TrkB signaling to limit aggression induced by postweaning social isolation. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 43(2), 2. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2017.142
- 158. Champagne, D. L., Bagot, R. C., van Hasselt, F., Ramakers, G., Meaney, M. J., de Kloet, E. R., Joëls, M., & Krugers, H. (2008). Maternal care and hippocampal plasticity: Evidence for experience-dependent structural plasticity, altered synaptic functioning, and differential responsiveness to glucocorticoids and stress. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 28(23), 6037–6045. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0526-08. 2008
- 159. Branchi, I., D'Andrea, I., Sietzema, J., Fiore, M., Di Fausto, V., Aloe, L., & Alleva, E. (2006). Early social enrichment augments adult hippocampal BDNF levels and survival of BrdU-positive cells while increasing anxiety- and "depression"-like behavior. *Journal of Neuroscience Research*, 83(6), 965–973. https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.20789
- 160. Greenough, W. T., Volkmar, F. R., & Juraska, J. M. (1973). Effects of rearing complexity on dendritic branching in frontolateral and temporal cortex of the rat. *Experimental Neurology*, 41(2), 371–378. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4886(73)90278-1
- Jung, C. K. E., & Herms, J. (2014). Structural dynamics of dendritic spines are influenced by an environmental enrichment: An in vivo imaging study. *Cerebral Cortex*, 24(2), 377–384. https://doi.org/10. 1093/cercor/bhs317
- 162. de Schultz, T., Bock, J., & Braun, K. (2020). Paternal deprivation and female biparental family rearing induce dendritic and synaptic changes in Octodon degus: I. Medial prefrontal cortex. Frontiers in Synaptic Neuroscience, 12, 38. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/ 10.3389/fnsyn.2020.00038
- 163. Braun, K., Seidel, K., Weigel, S., Roski, C., & Poeggel, G. (2011). Paternal deprivation alters region- and age-specific interneuron expression patterns in the biparental rodent, Octodon degus. Cerebral Cortex, 21(7), 1532–1546. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhq208
- 164. de Schultz, T., Braun, K., & Bock, J. (2023). Paternal deprivation and female biparental family rearing induce dendritic and synaptic changes in Octodon degus: II. Nucleus accumbens. Developmental Neuroscience, 45(3), 147–160. https://doi.org/10.1159/000530050
- 165. Glasper, E. R., Hyer, M. M., & Hunter, T. J. (2018). Enduring effects of paternal deprivation in California mice (*Peromyscus californicus*): Behavioral dysfunction and sex-dependent alterations in hippocampal new cell survival. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, 12, 20. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2018.00020
- 166. Madison, F. N., Palin, N., Whitaker, A., & Glasper, E. R. (2022). Sexspecific effects of neonatal paternal deprivation on microglial cell density in adult California mouse (*Peromyscus californicus*) dentate

- gyrus. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity, 106, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2022.07.161
- Leussis, M. P., & Andersen, S. L. (2008). Is adolescence a sensitive period for depression? Behavioral and neuroanatomical findings from a social stress model. Synapse, 62(1), 22–30. https://doi.org/10.1002/svn.20462
- 168. Bell, H. C., Pellis, S. M., & Kolb, B. (2010). Juvenile peer play experience and the development of the orbitofrontal and medial prefrontal cortices. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 207(1), 7–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2009.09.029
- 169. Himmler, B. T., Pellis, S. M., & Kolb, B. (2013). Juvenile play experience primes neurons in the medial prefrontal cortex to be more responsive to later experiences. *Neuroscience Letters*, 556, 42–45. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neulet.2013.09.061
- 170. Stark, R. A., Brinkman, B., Gibb, R. L., Iwaniuk, A. N., & Pellis, S. M. (2023). Atypical play experiences in the juvenile period has an impact on the development of the medial prefrontal cortex in both male and female rats. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 439, 114222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2022.114222
- 171. Bijlsma, A., Omrani, A., Spoelder, M., Verharen, J. P. H., Bauer, L., Cornelis, C., Zwart, B. de, Dorland, R. van, Vanderschuren, L. J. M. J., & Wierenga, C. J. (2022). Social play behavior is critical for the development of prefrontal inhibitory synapses and cognitive flexibility in rats. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 42(46), 8716–8728. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0524-22.2022
- 172. Himmler, B. T., Mychasiuk, R., Nakahashi, A., Himmler, S. M., Pellis, S. M., & Kolb, B. (2018). Juvenile social experience and differential age-related changes in the dendritic morphologies of subareas of the prefrontal cortex in rats. Synapse, 72(4), e22022. https://doi.org/10.1002/syn.22022
- 173. Pellis, S. M., Pellis, V. C., Ham, J. R., & Stark, R. A. (2023). Play fighting and the development of the social brain: The rat's tale. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 145, 105037. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2023.105037
- 174. Huang, W.-C., Zucca, A., Levy, J., & Page, D. T. (2020). Social behavior is modulated by valence-encoding mPFC-amygdala sub-circuitry. Cell Reports, 32(2), 107899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020. 107899
- 175. Lee, E., Rhim, I., Lee, J. W., Ghim, J.-W., Lee, S., Kim, E., & Jung, M. W. (2016). Enhanced neuronal activity in the medial prefrontal cortex during social approach behavior. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 36(26), 6926–6936. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0307-16. 2016
- 176. Novick, A. M., Levandowski, M. L., Laumann, L. E., Philip, N. S., Price, L. H., & Tyrka, A. R. (2018). The effects of early life stress on reward processing. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 101, 80–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.02.002
- 177. Rodrigues, A.-J., Leão, P., Carvalho, M., Almeida, O. F. X., & Sousa, N. (2011). Potential programming of dopaminergic circuits by early life stress. *Psychopharmacology*, 214(1), 107–120. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-010-2085-3
- 178. Fu, Y., & Depue, R. A. (2019). A novel neurobehavioral framework of the effects of positive early postnatal experience on incentive and consummatory reward sensitivity. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 107, 615–640. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.09.026
- 179. Brake, W. G., Zhang, T. Y., Diorio, J., Meaney, M. J., & Gratton, A. (2004). Influence of early postnatal rearing conditions on mesocorticolimbic dopamine and behavioural responses to psychostimulants and stressors in adult rats. European Journal of Neuroscience, 19(7), 1863–1874. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2004.03286.x
- Moffett, M. C., Vicentic, A., Kozel, M., Plotsky, P., Francis, D. D., & Kuhar, M. J. (2007). Maternal separation alters drug intake patterns in adulthood in rats. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 73(3), 321–330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2006.08.003

- 181. Depue, R. A., & Morrone-Strupinsky, J. V. (2005). A neurobehavioral model of affiliative bonding: Implications for conceptualizing a human trait of affiliation. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 28(3), 313–350. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525x05000063
- 182. Peña, C. J., Neugut, Y. D., Calarco, C. A., & Champagne, F. A. (2014). Effects of maternal care on the development of midbrain dopamine pathways and reward-directed behavior in female offspring. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *39*(6), 946–956. https://doi.org/10.1111/ein.12479
- 183. Braun, K., Seidel, K., Holetschka, R., Groeger, N., & Poeggel, G. (2013). Paternal deprivation alters the development of catecholaminergic innervation in the prefrontal cortex and related limbic brain regions. Brain Structure and Function, 218(4), 859–872. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-012-0434-1
- 184. Bambico, F. R., Lacoste, B., Hattan, P. R., & Gobbi, G. (2015). Father absence in the monogamous California mouse impairs social behavior and modifies dopamine and glutamate synapses in the medial prefrontal cortex. *Cerebral Cortex*, 25(5), 1163–1175. https://doi.org/10. 1093/cercor/bht310
- 185. Knop, J., van IJzendoorn, M. H., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., Joëls, M., & van der Veen, R. (2020). Maternal care of heterozygous dopamine receptor D4 knockout mice: Differential susceptibility to early-life rearing conditions. *Genes, Brain and Behavior*, 19(7), e12655. https://doi.org/10.1111/gbb.12655
- 186. Adise, S., Saliu, A., Maldonado, N., Khatri, V., Cardoso, L., & Rodríguez-Contreras, A. (2014). Effect of maternal care on hearing onset induced by developmental changes in the auditory periphery. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 34(13), 4528–4533. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4188-13.2014
- 187. Qiu, J., Singh, P., Pan, G., de Paolis, A., Champagne, F. A., Liu, J., Cardoso, L., & Rodríguez-Contreras, A. (2020). Defining the relationship between maternal care behavior and sensory development in Wistar rats: Auditory periphery development, eye opening and brain gene expression. *PLoS ONE*, 15(8), e0237933. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237933
- Bibollet-Bahena, O., Tissier, S., Ho-Tran, S., Rojewski, A., & Casanova, C. (2023). Enriched environment exposure during development positively impacts the structure and function of the visual cortex in mice. Scientific Reports, 13(1), 7020. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-33951-0
- 189. Greifzu, F., Pielecka-Fortuna, J., Kalogeraki, E., Krempler, K., Favaro, P. D., Schlüter, O. M., & Löwel, S. (2014). Environmental enrichment extends ocular dominance plasticity into adulthood and protects from stroke-induced impairments of plasticity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(3), 1150–1155. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1313385111
- Sale, A., Putignano, E., Cancedda, L., Landi, S., Cirulli, F., Berardi, N., & Maffei, L. (2004). Enriched environment and acceleration of visual system development. *Neuropharmacology*, 47(5), 649–660. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2004.07.008
- 191. Torasdotter, M., Metsis, M., Henriksson, B. G., Winblad, B., & Mohammed, A. H. (1998). Environmental enrichment results in higher levels of nerve growth factor mRNA in the rat visual cortex and hippocampus. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 93(1), 83–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4328(97)00142-3
- 192. Liu, A., & Urban, N. N. (2017). Prenatal and early postnatal odorant exposure heightens odor-evoked mitral cell responses in the mouse olfactory bulb. *eNeuro*, 4(5), ENEURO.0129-17.2017. https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0129-17.2017
- Veyrac, A., Sacquet, J., Nguyen, V., Marien, M., Jourdan, F., & Didier, A. (2009). Novelty determines the effects of olfactory enrichment on memory and neurogenesis through noradrenergic mechanisms. *Neu*ropsychopharmacology, 34(3), 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2008.
 191

- 194. Qiu, Q., Wu, Y., Ma, L., Xu, W., Hills, M. Jr., Ramalingam, V., & Yu, C. R. (2021). Acquisition of innate odor preference depends on spontaneous and experiential activities during critical period. *eLife*, 10, e60546. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.60546
- Reinert, J. K., & Fukunaga, I. (2022). The facets of olfactory learning. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 76, 102623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2022.102623
- 196. So, K., Moriya, T., Nishitani, S., Takahashi, H., & Shinohara, K. (2008). The olfactory conditioning in the early postnatal period stimulated neural stem/progenitor cells in the subventricular zone and increased neurogenesis in the olfactory bulb of rats. *Neuroscience*, 151(1), 120–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2007.07.051
- Polt, J. M., & Hess, E. H. (1966). Effects of social experience on the following response in chicks. *Journal of Comparative and Physiological Psychology*, 61, 268–270. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0023139
- 198. Seelke, A. M. H., Perkeybile, A. M., Grunewald, R., Bales, K. L., & Krubitzer, L. A. (2016). Individual differences in cortical connections of somatosensory cortex are associated with parental rearing style in prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 524(3), 564–577. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.23837
- 199. Seelke, A. M. H., Yuan, S.-M., Perkeybile, A. M., Krubitzer, L. A., & Bales, K. L. (2016). Early experiences can alter the size of cortical fields in prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). Environmental Epigenetics, 2(3), dvw019. https://doi.org/10.1093/eep/dvw019
- Bottom, R. T., Krubitzer, L. A., & Huffman, K. J. (2020). Early postnatal gene expression in the developing neocortex of prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*) is related to parental rearing style. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 528(17), 3008–3022. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.24856
- Hahn, M. E., & Lavooy, M. J. (2005). A review of the methods of studies on infant ultrasound production and maternal retrieval in small rodents. *Behavior Genetics*, 35(1), 31–52. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10519-004-0854-7
- Myers, M. M., Ali, N., Weller, A., Brunelli, S. A., Tu, A. Y., Hofer, M. A., & Shair, H. N. (2004). Brief maternal interaction increases number, amplitude, and bout size of isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations in infant rats (*Rattus norvegicus*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 118, 95–102. https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7036.118.1.95
- 203. Brown, R. E. (1982). Preferences of pre- and post-weanling Long-Evans rats for nest odors. *Physiology & Behavior*, 29(5), 865–874. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384(82)90337-7
- Porter, R. H., Tepper, V. J., & White, D. M. (1981). Experiential influences on the development of huddling preferences and "sibling" recognition in spiny mice. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 14(4), 375–382. https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.420140410
- Moles, A., Kieffer, B. L., & D'Amato, F. R. (2004). Deficit in attachment behavior in mice lacking the μ-opioid receptor gene. *Science*, 304(5679), 1983–1986. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1095943
- 206. Pellis, S. M., & Pasztor, T. J. (1999). The developmental onset of a rudimentary form of play fighting in C57 mice. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 34(3), 175–182. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2302(199904)34:3(175::AID-DEV2)3.0.CO;2-#
- Wöhr, M., Engelhardt, K. A., Seffer, D., Sungur, A. Ö., & Schwarting, R. K. W. (2015). Acoustic communication in rats: Effects of social experiences on ultrasonic vocalizations as socio-affective signals. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/7854_2015_410
- Wöhr, M., & Schwarting, R. K. W. (2013). Affective communication in rodents: Ultrasonic vocalizations as a tool for research on emotion and motivation. *Cell and Tissue Research*, 354(1), 81–97. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00441-013-1607-9
- 209. Crawley, J. N. (2007). Social behavior tests for mice.
- Crawley, J. N. (2007). What's wrong with my mouse?: Behavioral phenotyping of transgenic and knockout mice (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

- 211. Kudryavtseva, N. N. (1994). Experience of defeat decreases the behavioural reactivity to conspecifics in the partition test. *Behavioural Processes*, 32(3), 297–304. https://doi.org/10.1016/0376-6357(94)90049-3
- 212. File, S. E., & Hyde, J. R. (1978). Can social interaction be used to measure anxiety? *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 62(1), 19–24.
- 213. Weissbrod, A., Shapiro, A., Vasserman, G., Edry, L., Dayan, M., Yitzhaky, A., Hertzberg, L., Feinerman, O., & Kimchi, T. (2013). Automated long-term tracking and social behavioural phenotyping of animal colonies within a semi-natural environment. *Nature Communications*, 4, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3018
- Blanchard, D. C., Spencer, R. L., Weiss, S. M., Blanchard, R. J., McEwen, B., & Sakai, R. R. (1995). Visible burrow system as a model of chronic social stress: Behavioral and neuroendocrine correlates. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 20(2), 117–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0306-4530(94)E0045-B
- Toth, I., & Neumann, I. D. (2013). Animal models of social avoidance and social fear. *Cell and Tissue Research*, 354(1), 107–118. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00441-013-1636-4
- 216. Varghese, M., Keshav, N., Jacot-Descombes, S., Warda, T., Wicinski, B., Dickstein, D. L., Harony-Nicolas, H., De Rubeis, S., Drapeau, E., Buxbaum, J. D., & Hof, P. R. (2017). Autism spectrum disorder: Neuropathology and animal models. *Acta Neuropathologica*, 134(4), 537–566. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-017-1736-4
- Kummer, K., Klement, S., Eggart, V., Mayr, M., Saria, A., & Zernig, G. (2011). Conditioned place preference for social interaction in rats: Contribution of sensory components. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 5, 80.
- 218. Golden, S. A., Heshmati, M., Flanigan, M., Christoffel, D. J., Guise, K., Pfau, M. L., Aleyasin, H., Menard, C., Zhang, H., Hodes, G. E., Bregman, D., Khibnik, L., Tai, J., Rebusi, N., Krawitz, B., Chaudhury, D., Walsh, J. J., Han, M.-H., Shapiro, M. L., & Russo, S. J. (2016). Basal forebrain projections to the lateral habenula modulate aggression reward. *Nature*, 534(7609), 7609. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18601
- Kenkel, W. M., Perkeybile, A. M., & Carter, C. S. (2017). The neurobiological causes and effects of alloparenting. *Developmental Neurobiology*, 77(2), 214–232. https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22465
- 220. Koolhaas, J. M., Coppens, C. M., Boer, S. F. de, Buwalda, B., Meerlo, P., & Timmermans, P. J. A. (2013). The resident-intruder paradigm: A standardized test for aggression, violence and social stress. *JoVE*, 77, e4367. https://doi.org/10.3791/4367
- Rich, T. J., & Hurst, J. L. (1998). Scent marks as reliable signals of the competitive ability of mates. *Animal Behaviour*, 56(3), 727–735. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1998.0803

- 222. Fan, Z., Zhu, H., Zhou, T., Wang, S., Wu, Y., & Hu, H. (2019). Using the tube test to measure social hierarchy in mice. *Nature Protocols*, 14(3), 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0116-4
- Howells, G. N., & Kise, G. (1974). The measurement of social dominance in rats. *Psychological Record*, 24(1), 101–107. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/BF03394221
- So, N., Franks, B., Lim, S., & Curley, J. P. (2015). A social network approach reveals associations between mouse social dominance and brain gene expression. *PLoS ONE*, 10(7), e0134509. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0134509
- 225. Williamson, C. M., Romeo, R. D., & Curley, J. P. (2017). Dynamic changes in social dominance and mPOA GnRH expression in male mice following social opportunity. *Hormones and Behavior*, 87, 80–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2016.11.001
- 226. Golden, S. A., Covington, H. E., Berton, O., & Russo, S. J. (2011). A standardized protocol for repeated social defeat stress in mice. *Nature Protocols*, 6(8), Article 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2011. 361
- 227. Williams, J. R., Catania, K. C., & Carter, C. S. (1992). Development of partner preferences in female prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*): The role of social and sexual experience. *Hormones and Behavior*, *26*(3), 339–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/0018-506X(92)90004-F
- 228. Galef Jr, B. G. (2002). Social learning of food preferences in rodents: Rapid appetitive learning. *Current Protocols in Neuroscience*, 21(1), 8.5D.1–8.5D.8. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142301.ns0805ds21
- de Waal, F. B. M., & Preston, S. D. (2017). Mammalian empathy: Behavioural manifestations and neural basis. *Nature Reviews Neuro-science*, 18(8), 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2017.72
- Bartal, I. B.-A., Decety, J., & Mason, P. (2011). Empathy and pro-social behavior in rats. *Science*, 334(6061), 1427–1430. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1210789
- Burkett, J. P., Andari, E., Johnson, Z. V., Curry, D. C., de Waal, F. B. M., & Young, L. J. (2016). Oxytocin-dependent consolation behavior in rodents. Science, 351(6271), 375–378. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac4785

How to cite this article: Curley, J. P., & Champagne, F. A. (2023). Shaping the development of complex social behavior. *Ann NY Acad Sci.*, 1530, 46–63. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.15076